

OPERATOR-ALGEBRAIC ASPECTS OF FREE PROBABILITY THEORY

Georgios Katsimpas

Harbin Engineering University

Tuesday, July 2, 2024

The field of *operator algebras* involves the study of subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, which is the space of all bounded, linear operators on a (separable) Hilbert space H .

The field of *operator algebras* involves the study of subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, which is the space of all bounded, linear operators on a (separable) Hilbert space H .

The main analytical objects of study are:

- **\mathbf{C}^* -algebras**, which are norm-closed $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

The field of *operator algebras* involves the study of subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, which is the space of all bounded, linear operators on a (separable) Hilbert space H .

The main analytical objects of study are:

- **\mathbf{C}^* - algebras**, which are norm-closed $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.
- **von Neumann algebras**, which are $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ closed in the topology of pointwise convergence.

The field of *operator algebras* involves the study of subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, which is the space of all bounded, linear operators on a (separable) Hilbert space H .

The main analytical objects of study are:

- **C^* - algebras**, which are norm-closed $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.
In the *abelian* case : $C(X)$ with X compact, Hausdorff.
- **von Neumann algebras**, which are $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ closed in the topology of pointwise convergence.

The field of *operator algebras* involves the study of subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, which is the space of all bounded, linear operators on a (separable) Hilbert space H .

The main analytical objects of study are:

- **\mathbf{C}^* - algebras**, which are norm-closed $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.
In the *abelian* case : $C(X)$ with X compact, Hausdorff.
- **von Neumann algebras**, which are $*$ -subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ closed in the topology of pointwise convergence.
In the *abelian* case: $L_\infty(X, \mu)$ with μ a positive measure on X .

Free probability theory was initiated by Voiculescu in the 1980's as an extension of classical probability to the non-commutative context.

Free probability theory was initiated by Voiculescu in the 1980's as an extension of classical probability to the non-commutative context.

Motivation:

- Is it true that $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$?

Free probability theory was initiated by Voiculescu in the 1980's as an extension of classical probability to the non-commutative context.

Motivation:

- Is it true that $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$?

- Is it true that $L(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$?

Let G be a discrete group. If $(\delta_g)_{g \in G}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space $\ell_2(G)$ and $g \in G$, we define

Let G be a discrete group. If $(\delta_g)_{g \in G}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space $\ell_2(G)$ and $g \in G$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(g) : \ell_2(G) &\rightarrow \ell_2(G) \\ \delta_h &\mapsto \delta_{gh}\end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in G$.

Let G be a discrete group. If $(\delta_g)_{g \in G}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space $\ell_2(G)$ and $g \in G$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(g) : \ell_2(G) &\rightarrow \ell_2(G) \\ \delta_h &\mapsto \delta_{gh}\end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in G$.

Then, the induced map

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda : G &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(G)) \\ g &\mapsto \lambda(g)\end{aligned}$$

is an injective unitary representation of G called the *left regular representation*. We similarly define the *right regular representation* $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(G))$.

The algebra $C_{\text{red}}^*(G) = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda(g) : g \in G\}}$ is called the **reduced group C^* -algebra** of G .

The algebra $C_{\text{red}}^*(G) = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda(g) : g \in G\}}^{\|\cdot\|}$ is called the **reduced group C^* -algebra** of G .

Similarly, the algebra $L(G) = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda(g) : g \in G\}}^{\text{s.o.t.}}$ is called the **group von Neumann algebra** of G .

The algebra $C_{\text{red}}^*(G) = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda(g) : g \in G\}}^{\|\cdot\|}$ is called the **reduced group C^* -algebra** of G .

Similarly, the algebra $L(G) = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda(g) : g \in G\}}^{\text{s.o.t.}}$ is called the **group von Neumann algebra** of G .

On $L(G)$ define the linear functional $\tau : L(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by:

$$\tau(T) = \langle T(\delta_e), \delta_e \rangle.$$

Then, the functional τ is :

The algebra $C_{\text{red}}^*(G) = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda(g) : g \in G\}}^{\|\cdot\|}$ is called the **reduced group C^* -algebra** of G .

Similarly, the algebra $L(G) = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda(g) : g \in G\}}^{\text{s.o.t.}}$ is called the **group von Neumann algebra** of G .

On $L(G)$ define the linear functional $\tau : L(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by:

$$\tau(T) = \langle T(\delta_e), \delta_e \rangle.$$

Then, the functional τ is :

- 1 *positive*, i.e. $\tau(T^*T) \geq 0$, for all $T \in L(G)$,

The algebra $C_{\text{red}}^*(G) = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda(g) : g \in G\}}^{\|\cdot\|}$ is called the **reduced group C^* -algebra** of G .

Similarly, the algebra $L(G) = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda(g) : g \in G\}}^{\text{s.o.t.}}$ is called the **group von Neumann algebra** of G .

On $L(G)$ define the linear functional $\tau : L(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by:

$$\tau(T) = \langle T(\delta_e), \delta_e \rangle.$$

Then, the functional τ is :

- 1 *positive*, i.e. $\tau(T^*T) \geq 0$, for all $T \in L(G)$,
- 2 *faithful*, i.e. if $\tau(T^*T) = 0$, then $T = 0$,

The algebra $C_{\text{red}}^*(G) = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda(g) : g \in G\}}^{\|\cdot\|}$ is called the **reduced group C^* -algebra** of G .

Similarly, the algebra $L(G) = \overline{\text{span}\{\lambda(g) : g \in G\}}^{\text{s.o.t.}}$ is called the **group von Neumann algebra** of G .

On $L(G)$ define the linear functional $\tau : L(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by:

$$\tau(T) = \langle T(\delta_e), \delta_e \rangle.$$

Then, the functional τ is :

- 1 *positive*, i.e. $\tau(T^*T) \geq 0$, for all $T \in L(G)$,
- 2 *faithful*, i.e. if $\tau(T^*T) = 0$, then $T = 0$,
- 3 *tracial*, i.e. $\tau(TS) = \tau(ST)$, for all $T, S \in L(G)$.

Motivation:

- Is it true that $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$?

Motivation:

- Is it true that $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$?

- Is it true that $L(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$?

Motivation:

- Is it true that $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$?
No! (Pimsner - Voiculescu)
- Is it true that $L(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$?

Motivation:

- Is it true that $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$?

No! (Pimsner - Voiculescu)

- Is it true that $L(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$?

This is known as the **Free Group Factor Isomorphism Problem**.

Motivation:

- Is it true that $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$?

No! (Pimsner - Voiculescu)

- Is it true that $L(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$?

This is known as the **Free Group Factor Isomorphism Problem**.

Remains an **open** problem, but...

THEOREM

Let A be a C^ -algebra generated by self-adjoint generators a_1, \dots, a_n and let B be another C^* -algebra generated by self-adjoint generators b_1, \dots, b_n .*

THEOREM

Let A be a C^* -algebra generated by self-adjoint generators a_1, \dots, a_n and let B be another C^* -algebra generated by self-adjoint generators b_1, \dots, b_n . We consider A and B equipped with faithful states

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \psi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C} .$$

THEOREM

Let A be a C^* -algebra generated by self-adjoint generators a_1, \dots, a_n and let B be another C^* -algebra generated by self-adjoint generators b_1, \dots, b_n . We consider A and B equipped with faithful states

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \psi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C} .$$

If

$$\varphi \left(a_{i(1)}^{\varepsilon(1)} \cdot a_{i(2)}^{\varepsilon(2)} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i(k)}^{\varepsilon(k)} \right) = \psi \left(b_{i(1)}^{\varepsilon(1)} \cdot b_{i(2)}^{\varepsilon(2)} \cdot \dots \cdot b_{i(k)}^{\varepsilon(k)} \right)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i(1), \dots, i(k) \leq n$ and $\varepsilon(1), \dots, \varepsilon(k) \in \{1, *\}$, then the mapping

$$a_i \mapsto b_i$$

extends to an (isometric) $*$ -isomorphism between A and B .

As a result, if A is equipped with a faithful state φ , then the isomorphism class of A depends only on the **non-commutative distribution** of its generators a_1, \dots, a_n , i.e. the family of complex numbers given by

$$\varphi \left(a_{i(1)}^{\epsilon(1)} \cdot a_{i(2)}^{\epsilon(2)} \cdots a_{i(k)}^{\epsilon(k)} \right)$$

where $1 \leq i(1), i(2), \dots, i(k) \leq n$ and $\epsilon(1), \dots, \epsilon(k) \in \{1, *\}$.

DEFINITION

A **non-commutative probability space** consists of a pair (A, φ) , where A is a unital $*$ -algebra and $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a state, i.e. φ is unital, linear and positive.

DEFINITION

A **non-commutative probability space** consists of a pair (A, φ) , where A is a unital $*$ -algebra and $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a state, i.e. φ is unital, linear and positive.

We are interested in the *non-commutative distributions* of elements of A .

DEFINITION

A **non-commutative probability space** consists of a pair (A, φ) , where A is a unital $*$ -algebra and $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a state, i.e. φ is unital, linear and positive.

We are interested in the *non-commutative distributions* of elements of A . For $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, these are given by

$$\{\varphi(c_1 \cdots c_k) : k \geq 1, c_i \in \{a_1, \dots, a_n, a_1^*, \dots, a_n^*\} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

EXAMPLES

- ① If (X, Σ, μ) is a (classical) probability space, then the pair (A, φ) where

$$A = L_\infty(X, \Sigma, \mu)$$

and

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \varphi(f) = \int_X f d\mu$$

is a non-commutative probability space.

EXAMPLES

- ① If (X, Σ, μ) is a (classical) probability space, then the pair (A, φ) where

$$A = L_\infty(X, \Sigma, \mu)$$

and

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \varphi(f) = \int_X f d\mu$$

is a non-commutative probability space.

- ② $(M_n(\mathbb{C}), tr)$, where tr is the canonical normalized trace.

EXAMPLES

- ① If (X, Σ, μ) is a (classical) probability space, then the pair (A, φ) where

$$A = L_\infty(X, \Sigma, \mu)$$

and

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \varphi(f) = \int_X f d\mu$$

is a non-commutative probability space.

- ② $(M_n(\mathbb{C}), tr)$, where tr is the canonical normalized trace.
- ③ If G is a group, then the algebras

$$C_{\text{red}}^*(G) \text{ and } L(G)$$

are non-commutative probability spaces when equipped with the canonical faithful tracial state τ .

DEFINITION

A family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ of unital subalgebras of (A, φ) is called **freely independent** if

$$\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_k) = 0$$

whenever

- 1 $a_j \in A_{i_j}$, for all $j = 1, \dots, k$,
- 2 $\varphi(a_j) = 0$, for all $j = 1, \dots, k$,
- 3 $i_1 \neq i_2, \dots, i_{k-1} \neq i_k$.

DEFINITION

A family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ of unital subalgebras of (A, φ) is called **freely independent** if

$$\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_k) = 0$$

whenever

- 1 $a_j \in A_{i_j}$, for all $j = 1, \dots, k$,
- 2 $\varphi(a_j) = 0$, for all $j = 1, \dots, k$,
- 3 $i_1 \neq i_2, \dots, i_{k-1} \neq i_k$.

Operators $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ in A are called freely independent if the unital $*$ -algebras they generate are freely independent.

DEFINITION

A family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ of unital subalgebras of (A, φ) is called **freely independent** if

$$\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_k) = 0$$

whenever

- 1 $a_j \in A_{i_j}$, for all $j = 1, \dots, k$,
- 2 $\varphi(a_j) = 0$, for all $j = 1, \dots, k$,
- 3 $i_1 \neq i_2, \dots, i_{k-1} \neq i_k$.

Operators $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ in A are called freely independent if the unital $*$ -algebras they generate are freely independent.

This is a non-commutative concept; if a, b are classical random variables that are freely independent, then one of them must be almost surely a constant.

EXAMPLE

If $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of subgroups of a group G , then $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ is free in G if and only if the family of algebras $\{L(G_i)\}_{i \in I}$ are freely independent in the non-commutative probability space $(L(G), \tau)$

EXAMPLE

If $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of subgroups of a group G , then $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ is free in G if and only if the family of algebras $\{L(G_i)\}_{i \in I}$ are freely independent in the non-commutative probability space $(L(G), \tau)$

THEOREM

If the family of algebras $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ is freely independent and generates A , then the state φ is uniquely determined by the restrictions $\varphi|_{A_i}$, $i \in I$.

COROLLARY

Let M be a von Neumann algebra and φ a faithful state on M . If M is generated by self-adjoint operators x_1, \dots, x_n that are freely independent and whose distributions are non-atomic, then $M \cong L(\mathbb{F}_n)$.

Free probability theory replaces the tensor product of algebras

$$A \otimes B$$

as a model of independence by the free product of algebras

$$A * B.$$

Free probability theory replaces the tensor product of algebras

$$A \otimes B$$

as a model of independence by the free product of algebras

$$A * B.$$

The idea of Voiculescu is that the study of the free product of algebras should be done in analogy to classical independence and classical probability theory.

Free independence can be characterized by the **free cumulants**, which are given by a sequence of multilinear maps

$$\kappa_n : A^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by

$$\kappa_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \varphi_\pi(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot \mu(\pi, 1_n),$$

by the following result:

Free independence can be characterized by the **free cumulants**, which are given by a sequence of multilinear maps

$$\kappa_n : A^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by

$$\kappa_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \varphi_\pi(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot \mu(\pi, 1_n),$$

by the following result:

THEOREM (SPEICHER)

The following are equivalent:

- 1 the algebras $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ are freely independent in (A, φ) ,
- 2 mixed free cumulants vanish, i.e.

$$\kappa_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0,$$

whenever there exist at least two entries coming from different algebras.

There are certain operators that play a prominent role in free probability:

There are certain operators that play a prominent role in free probability:

- ① the **Haar unitary operators**, which are given by unitary operators u which satisfy

$$\varphi(u^n) = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

There are certain operators that play a prominent role in free probability:

- ① the **Haar unitary operators**, which are given by unitary operators u which satisfy

$$\varphi(u^n) = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The canonical generators of $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$ and $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ are Haar unitary operators, which are freely independent.

There are certain operators that play a prominent role in free probability:

- ① the **Haar unitary operators**, which are given by unitary operators u which satisfy

$$\varphi(u^n) = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The canonical generators of $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$ and $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ are Haar unitary operators, which are freely independent.

- ② the **semicircular operators**, i.e. self-adjoint operators whose distribution has density given by $\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4-t^2}$ on the interval $[-2, 2]$. These are the analog of the Gaussian distribution in free probability.

There are certain operators that play a prominent role in free probability:

- ① the **Haar unitary operators**, which are given by unitary operators u which satisfy

$$\varphi(u^n) = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The canonical generators of $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$ and $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ are Haar unitary operators, which are freely independent.

- ② the **semicircular operators**, i.e. self-adjoint operators whose distribution has density given by $\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4-t^2}$ on the interval $[-2, 2]$. These are the analog of the Gaussian distribution in free probability. $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ is generated by n freely independent semicircular random variables.

Voiculescu noted the following central connection between random matrix theory and non-commutative distributions of operators:

Voiculescu noted the following central connection between random matrix theory and non-commutative distributions of operators:

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $(X_i^{(n)})_{i=1}^k$ be a family of $n \times n$ independent self-adjoint Gaussian random matrices.

Voiculescu noted the following central connection between random matrix theory and non-commutative distributions of operators:

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $(X_i^{(n)})_{i=1}^k$ be a family of $n \times n$ independent self-adjoint Gaussian random matrices. Then for all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq k$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{tr} \left(X_{i_1}^{(n)} \cdots X_{i_m}^{(n)} \right) \right] = \tau(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}),$$

where $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a family of freely independent semicirculars.

Voiculescu noted the following central connection between random matrix theory and non-commutative distributions of operators:

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $(X_i^{(n)})_{i=1}^k$ be a family of $n \times n$ independent self-adjoint Gaussian random matrices. Then for all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq k$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{tr} \left(X_{i_1}^{(n)} \cdots X_{i_m}^{(n)} \right) \right] = \tau(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}),$$

where $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a family of freely independent semicirculars. Using the matrix model, one obtains

THEOREM

$C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$ is projectionless.

Voiculescu noted the following central connection between random matrix theory and non-commutative distributions of operators:

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $(X_i^{(n)})_{i=1}^k$ be a family of $n \times n$ independent self-adjoint Gaussian random matrices. Then for all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq k$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{tr} \left(X_{i_1}^{(n)} \cdots X_{i_m}^{(n)} \right) \right] = \tau(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}),$$

where $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a family of freely independent semicirculars. Using the matrix model, one obtains

THEOREM

$C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$ is projectionless.

THEOREM (DYKEMA-RADULESCU DICHOTOMY)

Exactly of the following holds:

Voiculescu noted the following central connection between random matrix theory and non-commutative distributions of operators:

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $(X_i^{(n)})_{i=1}^k$ be a family of $n \times n$ independent self-adjoint Gaussian random matrices. Then for all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq k$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{tr} \left(X_{i_1}^{(n)} \cdots X_{i_m}^{(n)} \right) \right] = \tau(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}),$$

where $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a family of freely independent semicirculars. Using the matrix model, one obtains

THEOREM

$C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$ is projectionless.

THEOREM (DYKEMA-RADULESCU DICHOTOMY)

Exactly of the following holds:

- ① $L(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for all $n, m \geq 2$,

Voiculescu noted the following central connection between random matrix theory and non-commutative distributions of operators:

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $(X_i^{(n)})_{i=1}^k$ be a family of $n \times n$ independent self-adjoint Gaussian random matrices. Then for all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq k$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{tr} \left(X_{i_1}^{(n)} \cdots X_{i_m}^{(n)} \right) \right] = \tau(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}),$$

where $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a family of freely independent semicirculars. Using the matrix model, one obtains

THEOREM

$C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$ is projectionless.

THEOREM (DYKEMA-RADULESCU DICHOTOMY)

Exactly of the following holds:

- ❶ $L(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for all $n, m \geq 2$,
- ❷ $L(\mathbb{F}_n) \not\cong L(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for all $n \neq m$.

There are certain operators that play a prominent role in free probability:

- ① the **Haar unitary operators**, which are given by unitary operators u which satisfy

$$\varphi(u^n) = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The canonical generators of $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$ and $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ are Haar unitary operators, which are freely independent.

- ② the **semicircular operators**, i.e. self-adjoint operators whose distribution has density given by $\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4-t^2}$ on the interval $[-2, 2]$. These are the analog of the Gaussian distribution in free probability.

There are certain operators that play a prominent role in free probability:

- ❶ the **Haar unitary operators**, which are given by unitary operators u which satisfy

$$\varphi(u^n) = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The canonical generators of $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$ and $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ are Haar unitary operators, which are freely independent.

- ❷ the **semicircular operators**, i.e. self-adjoint operators whose distribution has density given by $\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4-t^2}$ on the interval $[-2, 2]$. These are the analog of the Gaussian distribution in free probability.
- ❸ The **R-diagonal operators** are operators $a \in (A, \varphi)$ whose only non-vanishing free cumulants are of the form

$$\kappa_n(a, a^*, \dots, a, a^*) \text{ or } \kappa_n(a^*, a, \dots, a^*, a).$$

R-diagonal operators form a class of particularly well-behaved non-normal operators. In particular:

R-diagonal operators form a class of particularly well-behaved non-normal operators. In particular:

THEOREM (NICA, SPEICHER)

If $a, b \in (A, \varphi)$ are such that a is R-diagonal and a, b are free, then $a \cdot b$ is also R-diagonal.

R-diagonal operators form a class of particularly well-behaved non-normal operators. In particular:

THEOREM (NICA, SPEICHER)

If $a, b \in (A, \varphi)$ are such that a is R-diagonal and a, b are free, then $a \cdot b$ is also R-diagonal.

THEOREM (LARSEN)

If a is R-diagonal, then so is a^n for all n .

R-diagonal operators form a class of particularly well-behaved non-normal operators. In particular:

THEOREM (NICA, SPEICHER)

If $a, b \in (A, \varphi)$ are such that a is R-diagonal and a, b are free, then $a \cdot b$ is also R-diagonal.

THEOREM (LARSEN)

If a is R-diagonal, then so is a^n for all n .

THEOREM (NICA, SHLYAKHTENKO, SPEICHER)

For $a \in (A, \varphi)$, the following are equivalent:

- ❶ *a is R-diagonal,*

R-diagonal operators form a class of particularly well-behaved non-normal operators. In particular:

THEOREM (NICA, SPEICHER)

If $a, b \in (A, \varphi)$ are such that a is R-diagonal and a, b are free, then $a \cdot b$ is also R-diagonal.

THEOREM (LARSEN)

If a is R-diagonal, then so is a^n for all n .

THEOREM (NICA, SHLYAKHTENKO, SPEICHER)

For $a \in (A, \varphi)$, the following are equivalent:

- ❶ *a is R-diagonal,*
- ❷ *the distribution of a arises in the form $u \cdot p$, where u is a Haar unitary and u, p are free.*

If A is a \mathbb{C}^* -algebra or von Neumann algebra, then associated to a state $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the Hilbert space $L_2(A, \varphi)$ obtained by completing A with respect to the inner product $\langle a, b \rangle = \varphi(b^*a)$. A acts on $L_2(A, \varphi)$ via left multiplication.

If A is a C^* -algebra or von Neumann algebra, then associated to a state $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the Hilbert space $L_2(A, \varphi)$ obtained by completing A with respect to the inner product $\langle a, b \rangle = \varphi(b^*a)$. A acts on $L_2(A, \varphi)$ via left multiplication.

For the development of *non-microstates free entropy*, the notion of conjugate variables is central.

If A is a C^* -algebra or von Neumann algebra, then associated to a state $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the Hilbert space $L_2(A, \varphi)$ obtained by completing A with respect to the inner product $\langle a, b \rangle = \varphi(b^*a)$. A acts on $L_2(A, \varphi)$ via left multiplication.

For the development of *non-microstates free entropy*, the notion of conjugate variables is central.

DEFINITION

Let (M, φ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. A family of vectors ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n in $L_2(M, \varphi)$ is a **conjugate system** for x_1, \dots, x_n if

If A is a C^* -algebra or von Neumann algebra, then associated to a state $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the Hilbert space $L_2(A, \varphi)$ obtained by completing A with respect to the inner product $\langle a, b \rangle = \varphi(b^*a)$. A acts on $L_2(A, \varphi)$ via left multiplication.

For the development of *non-microstates free entropy*, the notion of conjugate variables is central.

DEFINITION

Let (M, φ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. A family of vectors ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n in $L_2(M, \varphi)$ is a **conjugate system** for x_1, \dots, x_n if

- 1 for all $i \leq n$ and $z_1, \dots, z_k \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ we have that

$$\varphi(z_1 \cdots z_k \cdot \xi_i) = \sum_{z_q = x_i} \varphi(z_1 \cdots z_{q-1}) \cdot \varphi(z_{q+1} \cdots z_k),$$

If A is a C^* -algebra or von Neumann algebra, then associated to a state $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the Hilbert space $L_2(A, \varphi)$ obtained by completing A with respect to the inner product $\langle a, b \rangle = \varphi(b^*a)$. A acts on $L_2(A, \varphi)$ via left multiplication.

For the development of *non-microstates free entropy*, the notion of conjugate variables is central.

DEFINITION

Let (M, φ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. A family of vectors ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n in $L_2(M, \varphi)$ is a **conjugate system** for x_1, \dots, x_n if

- ① for all $i \leq n$ and $z_1, \dots, z_k \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ we have that

$$\varphi(z_1 \cdots z_k \cdot \xi_i) = \sum_{z_q = x_i} \varphi(z_1 \cdots z_{q-1}) \cdot \varphi(z_{q+1} \cdots z_k),$$

- ② $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \overline{\text{alg}(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^{\|\cdot\|_2} \subseteq L_2(M, \varphi)$.

The **free Fisher information** of x_1, \dots, x_n is defined as

$$\Phi^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi_i\|_2^2, & \text{if a conjugate system exists,} \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The **free Fisher information** of x_1, \dots, x_n is defined as

$$\Phi^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi_i\|_2^2, & \text{if a conjugate system exists,} \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One then defines the non-microstates free entropy as an integral of the Fisher information of the tuple x_1, \dots, x_n .

If $x_1 = x_1^*, \dots, x_n = x_n^* \in (M, \varphi)$ are such that $\Phi^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) < +\infty$, then:

If $x_1 = x_1^*, \dots, x_n = x_n^* \in (M, \varphi)$ are such that $\Phi^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) < +\infty$, then:

- 1 for $n \geq 2$, $W^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ does not have property Γ and hence has trivial center (Dabrowski),

If $x_1 = x_1^*, \dots, x_n = x_n^* \in (M, \varphi)$ are such that $\Phi^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) < +\infty$, then:

- 1 for $n \geq 2$, $W^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ does not have property Γ and hence has trivial center (Dabrowski),
- 2 for every non-zero non-commutative polynomial P , there exists no non-zero self-adjoint element $w \in W^*(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot w = 0$. In particular, the distribution of $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is not atomic (Mai, Speicher, Weber).

THEOREM (NICA, SHLYAKHTENKO, SPEICHER)

The minimum

$$\min\{\Phi^*(a, a^*) : a^*a \text{ has a prescribed distribution}\}$$

is attained when a is R -diagonal.

Bi-free probability theory was introduced by Voiculescu in 2013 as an extension of the free setting and involves the simultaneous study of left and right actions of algebras on reduced free product spaces.

Bi-free probability theory was introduced by Voiculescu in 2013 as an extension of the free setting and involves the simultaneous study of left and right actions of algebras on reduced free product spaces. The corresponding notion of *bi-freeness* was combinatorially characterised by Charlesworth, Nelson and Skoufranis with the use of the *bi-free cumulants*

$$\kappa_\chi : A^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Bi-free probability theory was introduced by Voiculescu in 2013 as an extension of the free setting and involves the simultaneous study of left and right actions of algebras on reduced free product spaces.

The corresponding notion of *bi-freeness* was combinatorially characterised by Charlesworth, Nelson and Skoufranis with the use of the *bi-free cumulants*

$$\kappa_\chi : A^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The underlying combinatorial objects are the lattices of *bi-non-crossing partitions*, which are obtained by applying permutations on non-crossing partitions that are naturally derived by keeping track of the positions where the left and right operators appear.

Bi-free probability theory was introduced by Voiculescu in 2013 as an extension of the free setting and involves the simultaneous study of left and right actions of algebras on reduced free product spaces.

The corresponding notion of *bi-freeness* was combinatorially characterised by Charlesworth, Nelson and Skoufranis with the use of the *bi-free cumulants*

$$\kappa_\chi : A^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The underlying combinatorial objects are the lattices of *bi-non-crossing partitions*, which are obtained by applying permutations on non-crossing partitions that are naturally derived by keeping track of the positions where the left and right operators appear.

In the scalar setting, the notions of conjugate variables, Fisher information and entropy were extended to the bi-free context by Charlesworth and Skoufranis, using moment and bi-free cumulant formulae.

The theory of R-diagonal operators was recently developed in the bi-free setting, based on the $*$ -alternating condition on bi-free cumulants.

The theory of R-diagonal operators was recently developed in the bi-free setting, based on the $*$ -alternating condition on bi-free cumulants.

DEFINITION

Let (A, φ) be a non-commutative probability space and $x, y \in A$. We say that the pair (x, y) is *bi-R-diagonal* if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi \in \{l, r\}^n$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that

$$a_i \in \begin{cases} \{x, x^*\}, & \text{if } \chi(i) = l \\ \{y, y^*\}, & \text{if } \chi(i) = r \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

we have that

$$\kappa_\chi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$$

unless the sequence $(a_{s_\chi(1)}, \dots, a_{s_\chi(n)})$ is of even length and alternating in $*$ -terms and non- $*$ -terms.

THEOREM (K.)

- 1 Let (x, y) and (z, w) be two bi-free pairs in (A, φ) such that (x, y) is bi- R -diagonal. Then, the pair (xz, wy) is also bi- R -diagonal.

THEOREM (K.)

- 1 Let (x, y) and (z, w) be two bi-free pairs in (A, φ) such that (x, y) is bi-R-diagonal. Then, the pair (xz, wy) is also bi-R-diagonal.
- 2 If (x, y) is bi-R-diagonal, then so is (x^n, y^n) for all n .

THEOREM (K.)

- 1 Let (x, y) and (z, w) be two bi-free pairs in (A, φ) such that (x, y) is bi- R -diagonal. Then, the pair (xz, wy) is also bi- R -diagonal.
- 2 If (x, y) is bi- R -diagonal, then so is (x^n, y^n) for all n .
- 3 For $x, y \in (A, \varphi)$, the following are equivalent:
 - A the pair (x, y) is bi- R -diagonal,
 - B the joint distribution of the pair (x, y) arises in the form $(u_l z, w u_r)$, where (u_l, u_r) is a bi-Haar unitary that is bi-free from (z, w) .

THEOREM (K., SKOUFRANIS)

The minimum

$$\min \left\{ \Phi^* (\{x, x^*\} \sqcup \{y, y^*\}) : \begin{array}{l} \text{the joint distribution of} \\ (x, y) \text{ is prescribed} \end{array} \right\}$$

is attained whenever the pair (x, y) is bi-R-diagonal and alternating adjoint flipping.

THEOREM (K., SKOUFRANIS)

The minimum

$$\min \left\{ \Phi^* (\{x, x^*\} \sqcup \{y, y^*\}) : \begin{array}{l} \text{the joint distribution of} \\ (x, y) \text{ is prescribed} \end{array} \right\}$$

is attained whenever the pair (x, y) is bi-R-diagonal and alternating adjoint flipping.

Thank you!

The **free Fisher information** of X_1, \dots, X_n is defined as

$$\Phi^*(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi_i\|_2^2, & \text{if a conjugate system exists,} \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The **free Fisher information** of X_1, \dots, X_n is defined as

$$\Phi^*(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi_i\|_2^2, & \text{if a conjugate system exists,} \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The **non-microstates free entropy** is given by

$$\chi^*(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{n}{1+t} - \Phi^*(X_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, X_n + \sqrt{t}S_n) \right) dt + \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi e),$$

where S_1, \dots, S_n are freely independent semicircular operators that are free from $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$.

A von Neumann algebra M is called a factor if its center is trivial, i.e.

$$\{T \in M : TS = ST \forall S \in M\} = \mathbb{C}Id.$$

A von Neumann algebra M is called a factor if its center is trivial, i.e.

$$\{T \in M : TS = ST \forall S \in M\} = \mathbb{C}Id.$$

The algebra $L(G)$ is a factor if and only if the group G is **i.c.c.**, which means that for all $g \neq e \in G$, the set

$$\{hgh^{-1} : h \in G\}$$

is infinite.

If G is an amenable, i.c.c. group, then $L(G) \cong \mathcal{R}$, where \mathcal{R} is the hyperfinite II_1 factor (Connes).

If G is an amenable, i.c.c. group, then $L(G) \cong \mathcal{R}$, where \mathcal{R} is the hyperfinite II_1 factor (Connes).

As a result, we are interested in non-amenable, i.c.c. groups.

If G is an amenable, i.c.c. group, then $L(G) \cong \mathcal{R}$, where \mathcal{R} is the hyperfinite II_1 factor (Connes).

As a result, we are interested in non-amenable, i.c.c. groups.

Specifically, what can we say about $G = \mathbb{F}_2$?

1 $\mathbb{F}_n \not\cong \mathbb{F}_m$ for $n \neq m$,

- 1 $\mathbb{F}_n \not\cong \mathbb{F}_m$ for $n \neq m$,
- 2 $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_n) \not\cong C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$ (Pimsner-Voiculescu).

- ① $\mathbb{F}_n \not\cong \mathbb{F}_m$ for $n \neq m$,
- ② $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_n) \not\cong C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$ (Pimsner-Voiculescu).

The Free Group Factor Isomorphism Problem

$$L(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_m) \text{ for } n \neq m?$$

① $\mathbb{F}_n \not\cong \mathbb{F}_m$ for $n \neq m$,

② $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_n) \not\cong C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_m)$ for $n \neq m$ (Pimsner-Voiculescu).

The Free Group Factor Isomorphism Problem

$$L(\mathbb{F}_n) \cong L(\mathbb{F}_m) \text{ for } n \neq m?$$

Remains open!

THEOREM (FREE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM)

Let (A, φ) be a non-commutative probability space and let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A$ be a sequence of self-adjoint, freely independent, identically distributed random variables. Assume that $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is standardized, in the sense that $\varphi(a_n) = 0$ and $\varphi(a_n^2) = 1$ for all n . Then

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{\text{distr}} s,$$

where s is a semicircular random variable.

THEOREM (FREE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM)

Let (A, φ) be a non-commutative probability space and let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A$ be a sequence of self-adjoint, freely independent, identically distributed random variables. Assume that $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is standardized, in the sense that $\varphi(a_n) = 0$ and $\varphi(a_n^2) = 1$ for all n . Then

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{\text{distr}} s,$$

where s is a semicircular random variable.

In a von Neumann algebra we can "deform" Haar unitaries into semicirculars.

THEOREM (FREE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM)

Let (A, φ) be a non-commutative probability space and let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A$ be a sequence of self-adjoint, freely independent, identically distributed random variables. Assume that $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is standardized, in the sense that $\varphi(a_n) = 0$ and $\varphi(a_n^2) = 1$ for all n . Then

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{\text{distr}} s,$$

where s is a semicircular random variable.

In a von Neumann algebra we can "deform" Haar unitaries into semicirculars.

In particular $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ is generated by n freely independent semicircular random variables.