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#### Abstract

Let $K$ be a symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. It is well-known that for every $\theta \in(0,1)$ there exists a subspace $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F=[(1-\theta) n]$ such that $$
\begin{equation*} \mathcal{P}_{F}(K) \supseteq \frac{c \sqrt{\theta}}{M_{K}} D_{n} \cap F, \tag{*} \end{equation*}
$$ where $\mathcal{P}_{F}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto $F$. Consider a fixed coordinate system in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We study the question whether an analogue of (*) can be obtained when one is restricted to choose $F$ among the coordinate subspaces $\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}, \sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$, with $|\sigma|=[(1-\theta) n]$. We prove several "coordinate versions" of (*) in terms of the cotype- 2 constant, of the volume ratio and other parameters of $K$. The basic source of our estimates is an exact coordinate analogue of (*) in the ellipsoidal case. Applications to the computation of the number of lattice points inside a convex body are considered throughout the paper.


## 1 Introduction

Notation. Our setting is $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ equipped with an inner product $\langle.,$.$\rangle and the associated$ Euclidean norm defined by $|x|=\langle x, x\rangle^{1 / 2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We denote the Euclidean unit ball and the unit sphere by $D_{n}$ and $S^{n-1}$ respectively, and we write $\sigma$ for the rotationally invariant probability measure on $S^{n-1}$.

Let $K$ be a symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then, $K$ induces in a natural way a norm $\|.\|_{K}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In what follows we shall denote by $X_{K}$ the normed space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},\|\cdot\|_{K}\right)$. As usual, $K^{o}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\langle y, x\rangle \leq 1\right.$ for every $\left.x \in K\right\}$ is the polar body of $K$, and $X_{K^{\circ}}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},\|.\|_{K^{\circ}}\right)$ is the dual space of $X_{K}$.

Finally, we consider the integral parameters

$$
M=M_{K}=\left(\int_{S^{n-1}}\|x\|_{K}^{2} \sigma(d x)\right)^{1 / 2} \quad, \quad M^{*}=M_{K^{\circ}}=\left(\int_{S^{n-1}}\|x\|_{K^{\circ}}^{2} \sigma(d x)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

which are up to a constant the mean widths of $K^{o}$ and $K$ respectively.
Results. The following inequality of the second named author plays an important role in developing a proportional theory of high-dimensional convex bodies:

Theorem A (Low $M^{*}$-estimate). There exists a function $f:(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that for every symmetric convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for every $\theta \in(0,1)$ one can find a subspace $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F=[(1-\theta) n]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{K} \geq \frac{f(\theta)}{M_{K^{\circ}}}|x| \quad, \quad x \in F \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem A was originally proved in [M1] and a second proof using the isoperimetric inequality on $S^{n-1}$ was given in [M2] where it was shown that (1.1) holds with $f(\theta) \geq c \theta$ for some absolute constant $c>0$ (and with an estimate $f(\theta) \geq$ $\theta+o(1-\theta)$ as $\left.\theta \rightarrow 1^{-}\right)$. This was later improved to $f(\theta) \geq c \sqrt{\theta}$ in [PT], see also [M3] for a different proof with this best possible $\sqrt{\theta}$-dependence. Finally, it was proved in [Go] that one can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\theta) \geq \sqrt{\theta}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\theta n}\right)\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if we fix some $\theta \in(0,1)$ and consider the Grassmannian manifold $G_{n, k}$ of all $k$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $k=k(\theta, n)=[(1-\theta) n]$, equipped with the Haar probability measure $\nu_{n, k}$, then (1.1) holds true with $f(\theta) \geq c \sqrt{\theta}$ for all subspaces $F$ in a subset $\mathcal{A}_{n, k}$ of $G_{n, k}$ which is of almost full measure $\nu_{n, k}\left(\mathcal{A}_{n, k}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Interchanging the roles of $K$ and $K^{o}$, we may equivalently read Theorem A in the following geometric form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{F}(K) \supseteq \frac{c \sqrt{\theta}}{M_{K}} D_{n} \cap F, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{F}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto $F$. In this paper we will follow the tradition and continue calling an inclusion of the type (1.3) a "low $M^{*}$-estimate" (for $K^{o}$ ).

Among other applications of (1.3), let us mention the quotient of subspace theorem and the reverse Santaló inequality [M1], [BM].

Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be an arbitrary but fixed orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with respect to $\langle.,$.$\rangle . For a subset \sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ we naturally define the coordinate subspace $\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left\langle x, e_{j}\right\rangle=0\right.$ if $\left.j \notin \sigma\right\}$. We write $D_{\sigma}$ for $D_{n} \cap \mathbb{R}^{\sigma}$ and $Q_{\sigma}$ for the unit cube $Q_{n} \cap \mathbb{R}^{\sigma}=[-1,1]^{\sigma}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}$.

Our purpose is to discuss "low $M^{*}$-estimates" in the form (1.3) when one is restricted to choose $F$ among the coordinate subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of a certain dimension $m$ proportional to $n$.

In Section 2 we study the case of an ellipsoid $E$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. It turns out that for any orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ one has results analogous to (1.3) with almost the same $\sqrt{\theta}$-dependence on the parameter $\theta$ :

Theorem B (Coordinate low $M^{*}$-estimate for ellipsoids). Let $E$ be an ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\theta \in(0,1)$. Then, there exists $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\},|\sigma| \geq(1-\theta) n$, with

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E) \supseteq \frac{c \sqrt{\theta}}{\log ^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) M_{E}} D_{\sigma}
$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}$, and $c>0$ is an absolute constant.

It is perhaps surprising that this type of geometric result about ellipsoids is new and non-trivial. Note that our investigation of these questions was started from a simpler fact of the same nature about a special class of ellipsoids, which was discovered in [Gi].

It can be checked that Theorem B is optimal apart from the logarithmic term (see Remark 2.5). A result of the same type can be proved for an ellipsoid $E$ of smaller but sufficiently large dimension living in an arbitrary subspace $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (Theorem 2.3). We also consider the corresponding problem for sections (instead of projections) of $E$ with coordinate subspaces (Theorem 2.4).

Simple examples show that one cannot achieve the same strong estimate in full generality: for an arbitrary symmetric convex body $K$ and an arbitrary orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Consider e.g the case of the unit cube $Q_{n}$ and the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}:$ observe that $M_{Q_{n}} \simeq \sqrt{\log n / n}$, while the radius of the largest Euclidean ball contained in any coordinate projection of $Q_{n}$ is 1 . In Section 3 we give a general low $M^{*}$-estimate in terms of the cotype- 2 constant $C_{K}$ of $X_{K}$ :

Theorem $\mathbf{C}\left(M^{*}\right.$-estimate in terms of $\left.C_{K}\right)$. For an arbitrary symmetric convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for any $\theta \in(0,1)$, one can find $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\},|\sigma| \geq(1-\theta) n$, satisfying

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(K) \supseteq \frac{c_{1} \theta}{\log ^{2}\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) h\left(C_{K}\right) M_{K}} D_{\sigma}
$$

where $h(y)=y \log 2 y, y \geq 1$, and $c_{1}>0$ is an absolute constant.
Let us note that one can give a simpler argument, based on the isomorphic Sauer-Shelah lemma of S.J. Szarek and M. Talagrand and a factorization theorem of B. Maurey, which results in a weaker estimate of the same type (we sketch it in Remark 3.6). We also obtain results of the same nature in which $M_{K}$ is replaced by various other "volumic" parameters of $K$ or $K^{o}$ (see Remark 3.7).

In Section 4 we give a general low $M^{*}$-estimate in terms of the volume ratio $\operatorname{vr}(K)$ of $K$ :

Theorem $\mathbf{D}\left(M^{*}\right.$-estimate in terms of $\left.v r(K)\right)$. Let $K$ be a symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For every $\theta \in(0,1)$, there exists $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\},|\sigma| \geq(1-\theta) n$, such that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(K) \supseteq \frac{1}{\left[c_{2} v r(K)\right]^{\frac{c_{3} \log \left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right)}{\theta}} M_{K}} D_{\sigma}
$$

where $c_{2}, c_{3}>0$ are absolute constants.
In Sections 5 and 6 we give some further applications of the low $M^{*}$-estimate for ellipsoids. We demonstrate an exact dependence between coordinate sections of an ellipsoid and its polar in the spirit of [M5]. We also apply Theorems 2.2 and 2.4 to questions related to the number of integer or "almost integer" points inside an ellipsoid.

Recall that the cotype- 2 constant $C_{K}$ of $X_{K}$ is the smallest constant $\lambda>0$ for which

$$
\left(\operatorname{Ave}_{\varepsilon_{j}= \pm 1}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{j} x_{j}\right\|_{K}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|x_{j}\right\|_{K}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

holds for all choices of $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j \leq m}$ in $X_{K}$. We refer to [MS] and [TJ] for basic facts about type, cotype and $p$-summing operators which are used below. The letter $c$ will always denote an absolute positive constant, not necessarily the same in all its occurrences. By |.| we denote the cardinality of a finite set, volume of appropriate dimension, and the Euclidean norm (this will cause no confusion).
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## 2 Ellipsoidal case

In this Section we consider the case of an arbitrary ellipsoid $E$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. There exists a linear isomorphism $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $T(E)=D_{n}$. It will be convenient for us to write $E$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left\{x=\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} e_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} u_{j}\right| \leq 1\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{j}=T\left(e_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, n$. Writing $E$ in this way, we can easily express $M_{E}$ in terms of the $u_{j}$ 's as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{E}=\left(\int_{S^{n-1}}\|x\|_{T^{-1}\left(D_{n}\right)}^{2} \sigma(d x)\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\int_{S^{n-1}}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} u_{j}\right|^{2} \sigma(d x)\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|u_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Under the extra assumption that $\left|u_{j}\right| \leq 1, j=1, \ldots, n$, an estimate for coordinate projections of $E$ was given in [Gi] in connection with the problems of the Banach-Mazur distance to the cube and the proportional Dvoretzky-Rogers factorization. Its proof combines the structure of the ellipsoid with the well-known Sauer-Shelah lemma and factorization arguments analogous to the ones in [BT, Theorem 1.2]:

Lemma 2.1. Let $E_{\tau}=\left\{x=\sum_{j \in \tau} x_{j} e_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{\tau}:\left|\sum_{j \in \tau} x_{j} u_{j}\right| \leq 1\right\}$, where $u_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $j \in \tau$, with $\left|u_{j}\right| \leq 1$. Then, for every $\zeta \in(0,1)$ there exists $\sigma \subseteq \tau,|\sigma| \geq(1-\zeta)|\tau|$, such that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}\left(E_{\tau}\right) \supseteq c \sqrt{\zeta} D_{\sigma}
$$

where $c>0$ is an absolute constant.
One more step is needed in order to obtain a low $M^{*}$-estimate for coordinate subspaces in the ellipsoidal case:

Theorem 2.2. Let $E$ be an ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For every $\theta \in(0,1)$ there exists a subset $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|\sigma| \geq(1-\theta) n$, such that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E) \supseteq \frac{c \sqrt{\theta}}{\log ^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) M_{E}} D_{\sigma}
$$

where $c>0$ is an absolute constant.
Proof: We write $E$ in the form (2.1) and assume as we may that $M_{E}=1$. If $\rho=\left\{j \leq n:\left|u_{j}\right| \geq \sqrt{2 / \theta}\right\}$, then by (2.2) we have $2|\rho| / \theta \leq \sum_{j \leq n}\left|u_{j}\right|^{2}=n$, hence $|\rho| \leq \theta n / 2$. Consider the sets of indices:
$\tau_{0}=\left\{j \leq n:\left|u_{j}\right| \leq 1\right\}$,
$\tau_{k}=\left\{j \leq n: e^{k-1}<\left|u_{j}\right| \leq e^{k}\right\}, \quad k \geq 1$.
If $k_{0}=[\log (\sqrt{2 / \theta})]+1$, we have $\left|\bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq k_{0}} \tau_{k}\right| \geq n-|\rho| \geq\left(1-\frac{\theta}{2}\right) n$.
We define $\zeta_{k}=\frac{\theta n}{2} \frac{e^{k} / \sqrt{\left|\tau_{k}\right|}}{\sum_{k} e^{k} \sqrt{\left|\tau_{k}\right|}}$ for all $k \leq k_{0}$ with $\tau_{k} \neq \emptyset$, and consider the set $I=\left\{k \leq k_{0}: \tau_{k} \neq \emptyset\right.$ and $\left.\zeta_{k}<1\right\}$. For each $k \in I$ we can apply Lemma 2.1 for the ellipsoid $E_{\tau_{k}}=E \cap \mathbb{R}^{\tau_{k}}$ to find $\sigma_{k} \subseteq \tau_{k}$ with $\left|\sigma_{k}\right| \geq\left(1-\zeta_{k}\right)\left|\tau_{k}\right|$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_{k}}\left(E_{\tau_{k}}\right) \supseteq c_{1} \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_{k}}}{e^{k}} D_{\sigma_{k}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ is the constant from Lemma 2.1. Finally, we set $\sigma=\bigcup_{k \in I} \sigma_{k}$. Note that the above choice of $\zeta_{k}$ 's implies that

$$
\left|\bigcup_{k=0}^{k_{0}} \tau_{k}\right|-|\sigma| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{k_{0}} \zeta_{k}\left|\tau_{k}\right|=\frac{\theta n}{2}
$$

and therefore, $|\sigma| \geq(1-\theta) n$.
Suppose that $w \in D_{\sigma}$. If we write $w=\sum_{k \in I} w_{k}$, where $w_{k}=\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_{k}}(w)$, then by (2.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \in \frac{1}{c_{1}} \sum_{k \in I}\left|w_{k}\right| \frac{e^{k}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{k}}} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma_{k}}\left(E \cap \mathbb{R}^{\tau_{k}}\right) \subseteq \frac{1}{c_{1}}\left(\sum_{k \in I}\left|w_{k}\right| \frac{e^{k}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{k}}}\right) \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $w \in D_{\sigma}$ was arbitrary, an application of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\sigma} \subseteq \frac{1}{c_{1}}\left(\sum_{k \in I} \frac{e^{2 k}}{\zeta_{k}}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting our $\zeta_{k}$ 's in the sum above, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\sigma} \subseteq \frac{1}{c_{2} \sqrt{\theta n}}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{k_{0}} e^{k} \sqrt{\left|\tau_{k}\right|}\right) \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to give an upper bound for the sum $\sum_{k \leq k_{0}} e^{k} \sqrt{\left|\tau_{k}\right|}$ : to this end, note that for $k=1, \ldots, k_{0}$, we have $\left|\tau_{k}\right| e^{2 k-2} \leq \sum_{j \in \tau_{k}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{2} \leq n$ and thus $e^{k} \sqrt{\left|\tau_{k}\right|} \leq$ $e \sqrt{n}$ for $k=1, \ldots, k_{0}$ which allows a first upper bound of the order of $k_{0} \sqrt{n}$.
We partition the set of indices $\left\{0,1, \ldots, k_{0}\right\}$ setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{0}=\left\{k \leq k_{0}:\left|\tau_{k}\right| \leq \frac{1}{k_{0}} \frac{n}{2^{2 k-2}}\right\} \\
& \varphi_{s}=\left\{k \leq k_{0}: \frac{e^{s-1}}{k_{0}} \frac{n}{e^{2 k-2}}<\left|\tau_{k}\right| \leq \frac{e^{s}}{k_{0}} \frac{n}{e^{2 k-2}}\right\}, s \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

If $s_{0}=\left[\log k_{0}\right]+2$, we have $\bigcup_{0 \leq s \leq s_{0}} \varphi_{s}=\left\{0,1, \ldots, k_{0}\right\}$, and for every $s=1, \ldots, s_{0}$ we easily check that

$$
\left|\varphi_{s}\right| \frac{e^{s-1}}{k_{0}} \frac{n}{e^{2 k-2}} e^{2 k-2} \leq \sum_{k \in \varphi_{s}} \sum_{j \in \tau_{k}}\left|u_{j}\right|^{2} \leq n
$$

which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{s}\right| \leq \frac{k_{0}}{e^{s-1}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s \leq s_{0}$. By the definition of $\varphi_{s}$ and by (2.7), we can now estimate the sum in (2.6) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{k_{0}} e^{k} \sqrt{\left|\tau_{k}\right|}=\sum_{s=0}^{s_{0}} \sum_{k \in \varphi_{s}} e^{k} \sqrt{\left|\tau_{k}\right|} \leq \sum_{s=0}^{s_{0}}\left|\varphi_{s}\right| \frac{e^{k} e^{s / 2} \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{k_{0}} e^{k-1}}  \tag{2.8}\\
\leq & \frac{e \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{k_{0}}} \sum_{s=0}^{s_{0}} \frac{k_{0}}{e^{s-1}} e^{s / 2} \leq e^{2}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} e^{-s / 2}\right) \sqrt{n} \sqrt{k_{0}} \leq c_{3} \sqrt{k_{0}} \sqrt{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, (2.6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\sigma} \subseteq \frac{1}{c_{4} \sqrt{\theta}} \sqrt{k_{0}} \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof, since $k_{0} \simeq \log (2 / \theta)$ and we had assumed that $M_{E}=1$.

We proceed to prove an extension of Theorem 2.2 concerning the case where $E$ is an ellipsoid of dimension $m<n$ living in an arbitrary $m$-dimensional subspace $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $m$ is proportional to $n$, with $m / n$ sufficiently close to 1 , then we still have coordinate projections of $E$ of large dimension containing large Euclidean balls. This result will be useful for our treatment of the general case in Sections 3 and 4:

Theorem 2.3. Let $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and $F$ be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F=m \geq(1-\varepsilon) n$. Then, for every non-degenerate ellipsoid $E$ in $F$ and for every $\zeta \in\left[c_{1} \varepsilon \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right), 1\right)$ there exists $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|\sigma| \geq(1-\zeta) n$, such that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E) \supseteq \frac{c \sqrt{\zeta}}{2 \sqrt{2} \log ^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\zeta}\right) M_{E}} D_{\sigma},
$$

where $c$ is the constant from Theorem 2.2 and $c_{1}=\max \left\{\frac{8}{c^{2}}, \frac{1}{\log 2}\right\}$.
Proof: Suppose that an ellipsoid $E$ is given in $F$. We can find an orthonormal basis $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right\}$ of $F$ and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}>0$ such that

$$
E=\left\{x \in F: \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\left\langle x, w_{j}\right\rangle^{2}}{\lambda_{j}^{2}} \leq 1\right\} .
$$

We extend to an orthonormal basis $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j \leq n}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and consider the ellipsoid

$$
E^{\prime}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\left\langle x, w_{j}\right\rangle^{2}}{\lambda_{j}^{2}}+\sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \frac{\left\langle x, w_{j}\right\rangle^{2}}{b^{2}} \leq 1\right\},
$$

where $b=\sqrt{\varepsilon} / M_{E}$. It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{E^{\prime}}^{2}=\frac{1}{n}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}^{2}}+\frac{n-m}{b^{2}}\right]=\frac{m M_{E}^{2}+(n-m) M_{E}^{2} / \varepsilon}{n} \leq 2 M_{E}^{2} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\zeta \in\left[c_{1} \varepsilon \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right), 1\right)$. Applying Theorem 2.2 for $E^{\prime}$ and taking into account (2.10), we find $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|\sigma| \geq(1-\zeta) n$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}\left(E^{\prime}\right) \supseteq \frac{c \sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2} \log ^{1 / 2}(2 / \zeta) M_{E}} D_{\sigma} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\zeta \geq c_{1} \varepsilon \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)$ and the function $\zeta / \log \left(\frac{2}{\zeta}\right)$ is increasing on $(0,1)$, one can easily check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c \sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2} \log ^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\zeta}\right)} \geq 2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we clearly have $E^{\prime} \subseteq E+b D_{n}$ and hence $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}\left(E^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E)+$ $b D_{\sigma}$. Combining this with (2.11) and (2.12) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c \sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2} \log ^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\zeta}\right) M_{E}} D_{\sigma} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{c \sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{2} \log ^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\zeta}\right) M_{E}} D_{\sigma} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim: If $A$ and $B$ are convex symmetric bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}$ and $A \subseteq B+\frac{1}{2} A$, then $A \subseteq 2 B$.
[One easily checks that $A \subseteq\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{2^{k}}\right) B+\frac{1}{2^{k}} A$ and the claim follows by letting $k \rightarrow \infty$.]

Our claim and (2.13) imply that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E) \supseteq \frac{c}{2 \sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{\log ^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\zeta}\right) M_{E}} D_{\sigma}
$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete.
Our next result concerns coordinate sections of ellipsoids: again, we are interested in finding large balls contained in them. Using a result of [AM] which was recently improved in [ T ] (in our case each of them works equally well), we can give an essentially optimal answer to this question when the dimension of the coordinate sections is small (of order roughly not exceeding $\sqrt{n}$ ):

Theorem 2.4. Let $E$ be an ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For every $m \leq c \sqrt{n}$ we can find $a$ subset $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of cardinality $|\sigma|=m$, such that

$$
E \cap \mathbb{R}^{\sigma} \supseteq \frac{c^{\prime}}{\sqrt{m} M_{E}} D_{\sigma}
$$

In the statement above, $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ are absolute positive constants.
Proof: We write $E$ in the form (2.1). As a consequence of (2.2), observe that for every $s \leq n$ the following identity holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ave}_{|\tau|=s} M_{E \cap \mathbb{R}^{\tau}}^{2}=\left[\binom{n-1}{s-1} /\binom{n}{s}\right] \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|u_{j}\right|^{2}=M_{E}^{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the average is over all $\tau \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|\tau|=s$. This means in particular that for every $s \leq n$ we can find $\tau$ with $|\tau|=s$ for which $M_{E \cap \mathbb{R}^{\tau}} \leq M_{E}$.

Assume that $m \leq c \sqrt{n}$ is given, where $c>0$ is an absolute constant to be chosen. We choose $s=\left[\frac{m^{2}}{c^{2}}\right]$ and find $\tau$ with $|\tau|=s$ and $M_{E \cap \mathbb{R}^{\tau}} \leq M_{E}$. Observe that

$$
\operatorname{Ave}_{\varepsilon_{j}= \pm 1}| | \sum_{j \in \varphi} \varepsilon_{j} e_{j} \|_{E} \leq \sqrt{|\tau|} M_{E \cap \mathbb{R}^{\tau}} \leq \sqrt{|\tau|} M_{E}
$$

Hence, if $c$ is small enough, the results of [AM] or [T] allow us to find $\varphi \subseteq \tau$ with $|\varphi|=2 m$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\| \sum_{j \in \varphi} \varepsilon_{j} e_{j}| |_{E} \leq c_{1} \sqrt{|\tau|} M_{E} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right)_{j \in \varphi} \in\{-1,1\}^{\varphi}$, where $c_{1}$ is a positive absolute constant. In other words, the coordinate section of $E$ by $\mathbb{R}^{\varphi}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \cap \mathbb{R}^{\varphi} \supseteq \frac{1}{c_{1} \sqrt{|\tau|} M_{E}} Q_{\varphi} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the identity operator $i d: \ell_{\infty}^{\varphi} \rightarrow X_{E} \cap \mathbb{R}^{\varphi}$ has norm $\|i d\| \leq$ $c_{1} \sqrt{|\tau|} M_{E}$, and this implies that $\pi_{2}(i d) \leq c_{1} K_{G} \sqrt{|\tau|} M_{E}$ where $K_{G}$ is Grothendieck's constant. Applying Pietch's factorization theorem we can find $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)_{i \in \varphi}, \sum_{i \in \varphi} \lambda_{i}^{2}=$ 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{i \in \varphi} t_{i} e_{i}\right\|_{E} \leq c_{1} K_{G} \sqrt{|\tau|} M_{E}\left(\sum_{i \in \varphi} \lambda_{i}^{2} t_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every choice of reals $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i \in \varphi}$. By Markov's inequality, we find $\sigma_{1} \subseteq \varphi,\left|\sigma_{1}\right| \geq$ $|\varphi| / 2 \geq m$, such that $\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{|\varphi|}}$ for all $i \in \sigma_{1}$. Then, for any $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i \in \sigma_{1}}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{i \in \sigma_{1}} t_{i} e_{i}\right\|_{E} \leq c_{1} K_{G} \sqrt{|\tau|} M_{E} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{|\varphi|}}\left(\sum_{i \in \sigma_{1}} t_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The choice of $|\tau|$ and $|\varphi|$ shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \cap \mathbb{R}^{\sigma_{1}} \supseteq \frac{c^{\prime}}{\sqrt{m} M_{E}} D_{\sigma_{1}} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some absolute constant $c^{\prime}>0$, and we conclude the proof by choosing any $\sigma \subseteq \sigma_{1}$ of cardinality $|\sigma|=m$.

Remark 2.5. An iteration of the argument above shows that one can extend the range of $m$ 's for which Theorem 2.4 holds to e.g the set $\{1, \ldots,[\sqrt{n}]\}$, with some loss in the constant $c^{\prime}$. The dependence on $m$ is sharp as it can be seen by the following example: consider the ellipsoid $E=\left\{\left(t_{j}\right)_{j \leq n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left|\sum t_{j} u_{j}\right|_{n+1} \leq 1\right\}$, where $u_{j}=e_{j}+e_{n+1}, j=1, \ldots, n$, and $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j \leq n+1}$ is the standard orthonormal basis in
$\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Given any $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|\sigma|=m$, we have that $\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{m}}, \ldots, \frac{t}{\sqrt{m}}\right) \in E \cap \mathbb{R}^{\sigma}$ precisely when $(1+m) t^{2} \leq 1$. In particular, we must have $|t| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$. This means that the largest ball contained in $E \cap \mathbb{R}^{\sigma}$ cannot have radius larger than $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$. On the other hand, observe that $M_{E}=\sqrt{2}$.

The same example shows that the estimate in Theorem 2.2 is best possible apart from the $\log ^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right)$ term. By Lemma 2.1, this logarithmic term can be removed if all the $u_{j}$ 's are of about the same Euclidean norm.

## 3 General case: estimate in terms of the cotype-2 constant

In this Section we study the general case, that is $K$ is an arbitrary symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j \leq n}$ is a fixed orthonormal basis. We shall make use of the maximal volume ellipsoid $E$ of $K$ and of the better information we have for coordinate projections of ellipsoids. For this purpose we will also need an estimate for the parameters $A_{m}(K)=\sup \left\{(|K \cap F| /|E \cap F|)^{1 / m}: \operatorname{dim} F=m\right\}, m=1, \ldots, n$.

It was proved in $[\mathrm{BM}]$ that the volume ratio $\operatorname{vr}(K)=(|K| /|E|)^{1 / n}$ of $K$ is bounded by $f\left(C_{K}\right)=c C_{K}\left[\log C_{K}\right]^{4}$, with the power of $\log C_{K}$ improved to 1 in [MiP]. A third proof of the same fact is given in [M4], where it is also shown that $v r(K) \leq c h\left(C_{K}\right)$, where $h(y)=y \log 2 y, y \geq 1$, and $c>0$ is an absolute constant. Our first lemma is a modification of the argument presented in [M4] which provides an estimate for $A_{m}(K), m \leq n$, in terms of $C_{K}$ :
Lemma 3.1. Let $K$ be a symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $E$ be the maximal volume ellipsoid of $K$. If $F$ is an m-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then

$$
\left(\frac{|K \cap F|}{|E \cap F|}\right)^{1 / m} \leq \operatorname{ch}\left(\sqrt{n / m} C_{K}\right)
$$

where $h(y)=y \log 2 y, y \geq 1$.
Proof: We may clearly assume that $E=D_{n}$. The proof will be based on an iteration scheme, analogous to the one in [M4].

We set $K_{0}=K, \alpha_{0}=n, \beta_{0}=n$, and for $j=1,2, \ldots$ we define:
(i) $\alpha_{j}=\log \alpha_{j-1}=\log ^{(j)} n$, the $j$-iterated logarithm of $n$,
(ii) $\beta_{j}=\alpha_{j} M_{\left(K_{j-1} \cap F\right)^{\circ}}$,
(iii) $K_{j}=K \cap \beta_{j} D_{n}$.

Note that for every $j$ the maximal volume ellipsoid of $K_{j}$ is $D_{n}$. Also, $C_{K_{j}} \leq$ $2 C_{K}$ and $d\left(X_{K_{j}}, \ell_{2}^{n}\right) \leq \beta_{j}$. By Sudakov's inequality [Su], [P1] the covering number of $K_{j-1} \cap F$ by $\beta_{j} D_{n} \cap F$ can be estimated as follows:

$$
N\left(K_{j-1} \cap F, \beta_{j} D_{n} \cap F\right)=N \leq \exp \left(c_{1} m M_{\left(K_{j-1} \cap F\right)^{\circ}}^{2} / \beta_{j}^{2}\right)=\exp \left(c_{1} m / \alpha_{j}^{2}\right)
$$

and since, by Brunn's theorem, $\left|K_{j-1} \cap\left(x+\beta_{j} D_{n} \cap F\right)\right| \leq\left|K_{j-1} \cap \beta_{j} D_{n} \cap F\right|, x \in F$, we have $\left|K_{j-1} \cap F\right| \leq N\left|K_{j} \cap F\right|$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{j-1} \cap F\right|^{1 / m} \leq \exp \left(\frac{c_{1}}{\alpha_{j}^{2}}\right)\left|K_{j} \cap F\right|^{1 / m} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By well-known results of [DMT], [MP], and [P2] we have the string of inequalities

$$
M_{\left(K_{j} \cap F\right)^{\circ}} \leq c_{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} M_{K_{j}^{\circ}} \leq c_{3} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} T_{2}\left(X_{K_{j}^{\circ}}\right) \leq c_{4} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} C_{K_{j}} \log \left(2 d\left(X_{K_{j}}, \ell_{2}^{n}\right)\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
M_{\left(K_{j} \cap F\right)^{\circ}} \leq 2 c_{4} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} C_{K} \log \left(2 \beta_{j}\right)
$$

It follows that the sequence $\left\{\beta_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 0}$ satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{j+1} \leq 2 c_{4} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} C_{K} \alpha_{j} \log \left(2 \beta_{j}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We stop this procedure at the smallest $t$ for which $\alpha_{t}<6 c_{4}$. Induction and (3.2) show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{t} \leq 36 c_{4}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} C_{K}\left[\log \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} C_{K}\right)+6 c_{4}\right] \leq c^{\prime} h\left(\sqrt{n / m} C_{K}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.1) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|K \cap F|^{1 / m} \leq\left|K_{t} \cap F\right|^{1 / m} \exp \left(c_{1}\left[\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{\alpha_{t}^{2}}\right]\right) \leq c^{\prime \prime}\left|K_{t} \cap F\right|^{1 / m} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\sum \frac{1}{\alpha_{j}^{2}}$ is easily seen to be uniformly bounded. Taking into account (3.3), (3.4) and the Blaschke-Santaló inequality we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{|K \cap F|}{\left|D_{n} \cap F\right|}\right)^{1 / m} \leq c^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\left|D_{n} \cap F\right|}{\left|\left(K_{t} \cap F\right)^{o}\right|}\right)^{1 / m} \leq c^{\prime \prime} M_{\left(K_{t} \cap F\right)^{\circ}}  \tag{3.5}\\
\leq & 2 c_{4} c^{\prime \prime} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} C_{K} \log \left(2 c^{\prime} h\left(\sqrt{n / m} C_{K}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{ch}\left(\sqrt{n / m} C_{K}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Simple examples (see Remark 3.3) show that one cannot compare $M_{K}$ and $M_{E}$ even if $C_{K}$ is small: the only estimate one can give is that $M_{E} \leq \sqrt{n} M_{K}$, which is a direct consequence of the fact that $K \subseteq \sqrt{n} E$ by John's theorem. However, there exist subspaces $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of proportional dimension on which we can compare $M_{K}$ with $M_{E \cap F}$ reasonably well:

Lemma 3.2. Let $E$ be the maximal volume ellipsoid of $K$. For every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ there exists a subspace $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F=m \geq(1-\varepsilon) n$ such that

$$
M_{E \cap F} \leq \frac{\operatorname{ch}\left(C_{K}\right) \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} M_{K},
$$

where $h(y)=y \log 2 y, y \geq 1$, and $c>0$ is an absolute constant.
Proof: Let $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\lambda_{1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{n}>0$ such that

$$
E=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left\langle x, w_{j}\right\rangle^{2}}{\lambda_{j}^{2}} \leq 1\right\} .
$$

For $k=1, \ldots, n$, set $W_{k}=\operatorname{span}\left\{w_{k}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$. By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\left|K \cap W_{k}\right|}{\left|E \cap W_{k}\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-k+1}} \leq c_{1} h\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k+1}} C_{K}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $E \cap W_{k} \subseteq \lambda_{k}\left(D_{n} \cap W_{k}\right)$, and hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{\left|K \cap W_{k}\right|}{\left|E \cap W_{k}\right|}\right)^{\frac{-1}{n-k+1}} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}}\left(\frac{\left|K \cap W_{k}\right|}{\left|D_{n} \cap W_{k}\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-k+1}}  \tag{3.7}\\
\quad \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{k} M_{K \cap W_{k}}} \geq \frac{1}{c_{2} \lambda_{k} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k+1}} M_{K}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining (3.6), (3.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}} \leq c_{1} c_{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k+1}} h\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k+1}} C_{K}\right) M_{K} \quad, \quad k=1, \ldots, n . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, let $m=[(1-\varepsilon) n]$ and set $F_{m}=\operatorname{span}\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right\}$. By (3.8) we can estimate $M_{E \cap F_{m}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{E \cap F_{m}}=\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{3.9}\\
\leq c_{1} c_{2} C_{K} M_{K}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{n^{2}}{m(n-k+1)^{2}} \log ^{2}\left(2 \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k+1}} C_{K}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \\
\leq c_{1} c_{2} C_{K} \log \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-m}} C_{K}\right) \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-m}} M_{K} \leq \frac{c h\left(C_{K}\right) \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} M_{K} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 3.3. The estimate (3.9) is essentially sharp, even if $C_{K}$ is small: to see this, consider the class of bodies $K=K(a, b ; s)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \sum_{j \leq s} \frac{\left|x_{j}\right|}{a}+\right.$
$\left.\sum_{j>s} \frac{\left|x_{j}\right|}{b} \leq 1\right\}$, where $a, b$ are positive parameters and $s \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. It is clear that the ellipsoid of maximal volume in $K$ is $E=E(a, b ; s)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right.$ : $\left.\sum_{j \leq s} \frac{\left|x_{j}\right|^{2}}{a^{2}}+\sum_{j>s} \frac{\left|x_{j}\right|^{2}}{b^{2}} \leq 1\right\}$. It is also clear that both the cotype- 2 constant and the volume ratio of $K$ are uniformly bounded (independently of $n, s, a$ and $b$ ).

Given $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, choose $b=a \sqrt{\varepsilon}, s=m=(1-\varepsilon) n$. Then, it is easy to check that $M_{K} \simeq \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\varepsilon} / a$, while $M_{E \cap F_{m}} \simeq \sqrt{n} / a$.

Also, we can have the ratio $M_{E} / M_{K}$ as close to $\sqrt{n}$ as we like: choose, for example, $s=n-1$ and $b=\frac{a}{n-1}$. Then, $M_{K} \simeq 1 / \sqrt{n} b$ while $M_{E} \simeq 1 / b$.

Combining Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 3.2 we prove our $M^{*}$-estimate in terms of the cotype- 2 constant of $X_{K}$ :
Theorem 3.4. Let $K$ be a symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $X_{K}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},\|\cdot\|_{K}\right)$. For every $\theta \in(0,1)$ there exists $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\},|\sigma| \geq(1-\theta) n$, such that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(K) \supseteq \frac{c \theta}{\log ^{2}\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) h\left(C_{K}\right) M_{K}} D_{\sigma}
$$

where $h(y)=y \log 2 y, y \geq 1$, and $c>0$ is an absolute constant.
Proof: Let $E$ be the maximal volume ellipsoid of $K$, and set $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\theta)=\theta / c_{2} \log \left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right)$, where $c_{2}>0$ is a constant to be chosen. By Lemma 3.2 we can find a subspace $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F \geq(1-\varepsilon) n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{E \cap F} \leq \frac{c_{3} h\left(C_{K}\right) \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} M_{K} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that if $c_{2}$ is large enough, then $\theta \geq c_{1} \varepsilon \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)$ where $c_{1}$ is the constant in Theorem 2.3. Thus, we can apply Theorem 2.3 for $E \cap F$ to find $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|\sigma| \geq(1-\theta) n$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E \cap F) \supseteq \frac{c \sqrt{\theta}}{2 \sqrt{2} \log ^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) M_{E \cap F}} D_{\sigma} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.10) with (3.11) we finish the proof.
Remark 3.5. It should be noted that the estimate given by Theorem 3.4 is exact not only when $C_{K}$ is small (like e.g in the ellipsoidal case), but in the whole range [ $1, \sqrt{n}$ ] of possible values of $C_{K}$ i.e even if $C_{K}$ is extremely large. This can be easily seen if one considers the case of $B_{p}^{n}, p>2$, the unit ball of $\ell_{p}^{n}$, and the standard coordinate system in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Fix for example $\theta=\frac{1}{2}$. Then, the radius of the largest Euclidean ball inscribed in any $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$-dimensional coordinate projection of $B_{p}^{n}$ is 1 , and the well-known estimates for $C_{B_{p}^{n}}$ and $M_{B_{p}^{n}}$ show that Theorem 3.4 is sharp apart from logarithmic terms. We do not know if the "almost linear" dependence
on $\theta$ which our method provides is optimal. However, the ellipsoidal case shows that $\sqrt{\theta}$ dependence is the best one might hope for.

Remark 3.6. One can give a weaker estimate, analogous to the one obtained in Theorem 3.4, using the isomorphic Sauer-Shelah lemma of Szarek-Talagrand [ST] and a factorization result of Maurey [Ma] (see also [TJ]). Starting with the body $K$ and the orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j \leq n}$, we have the inequality

$$
\mathrm{Ave}_{\varepsilon_{j}= \pm 1}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} e_{j}\right\|_{K} \leq \sqrt{n} M_{K}
$$

and therefore, by Markov's inequality we can find $\mathcal{A} \subseteq\{-1,1\}^{n}$ of cardinality $|\mathcal{A}| \geq 2^{n-1}$ such that $\left\|\sum \varepsilon_{j} e_{j}\right\|_{K} \leq 2 M_{K} \sqrt{n}$ whenever $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{A}$. If we view $\mathcal{A}$ as a set of points in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, this means that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2 M_{K} \sqrt{n} K$. On the other hand, the isomorphic Sauer-Shelah lemma shows that for some absolute constant $c_{1}>0$ and for every $\theta \in(0,1)$ there exists $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\},|\sigma| \geq\left(1-\frac{\theta}{2}\right) n$, with $\operatorname{co}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq c_{1} \frac{\theta}{2} Q_{\sigma}\right.$, and hence

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(K) \supseteq \frac{c_{1} \theta}{4 M_{K} \sqrt{n}} Q_{\sigma}
$$

It follows that if $Y=\left(\mathbb{R}^{\sigma_{1}},\|.\|_{K^{o}}\right)$, then $i d: \ell_{\infty}^{\sigma_{1}} \rightarrow Y^{*}$ has norm $\|i d\| \leq \frac{4 M_{K} \sqrt{n}}{c_{1} \theta}$, and Maurey's theorem shows that

$$
\pi_{2}(i d) \leq c_{2} \frac{M_{K} \sqrt{n}}{\theta} g\left(Y^{*}\right)
$$

where $g\left(Y^{*}\right)=C_{Y^{*}} \sqrt{1+\log \left(C_{Y^{*}}\right)}$. Then, we can apply Pietch's factorization theorem in the context of $\left[\mathrm{BT}\right.$, Theorem 1.2] to find $\sigma \subseteq \sigma_{1}$ with $|\sigma| \geq\left(1-\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\left|\sigma_{1}\right| \geq$ $(1-\theta) n$ for which

$$
\left(\sum_{i \in \sigma} t_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq c_{3} \frac{M_{K} g\left(Y^{*}\right)}{\theta^{3 / 2}}\left\|\sum_{i \in \sigma} t_{i} e_{i}\right\|_{K^{o}}
$$

is true for all $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i \in \sigma}$. Taking polars in $\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}$ and using the fact that $C_{Y^{*}} \leq c_{4} C_{K}\left\|\operatorname{Rad}_{X_{K}}\right\|$, we conclude that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(K) \supseteq \frac{c \theta^{3 / 2}}{f(K) M_{K}} D_{\sigma}
$$

where $c>0$ is an absolute constant, and $f(K)=C_{K}\left\|\operatorname{Rad}_{X_{K}}\right\| \sqrt{1+\log \left(C_{K}\left\|\operatorname{Rad}_{X_{K}}\right\|\right)}$.
Remark 3.7. One can modify the proof of Theorem 3.6 to give analogous estimates in which $M_{K}$ is replaced by other "volumic" parameters of $K$ or $K^{o}$.

Consider e.g the sequence of volume numbers of $K^{o}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{s}\left(K^{o}\right)=\max \left\{\left(\left|\mathcal{P}_{F}\left(K^{o}\right)\right| /\left|D_{n} \cap F\right|\right)^{1 / s}: \operatorname{dim} F=s\right\} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=1, \ldots, n$. As a consequence of the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequalities, one can easily see that $\left\{v_{s}\left(K^{o}\right)\right\}_{s \leq n}$ is non increasing (see [P1]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}\left(K^{o}\right) \geq v_{2}\left(K^{o}\right) \geq \ldots \geq v_{n}\left(K^{o}\right)=v \cdot r a d\left(K^{o}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K$ be a symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $E$ be the ellipsoid of maximal volume in $K$ as in Lemma 3.4. Using the inverse Santaló inequality in (3.6), (3.7) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}} \leq\left(\frac{\left|D_{n} \cap W_{k}\right|}{\left|K \cap W_{k}\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-k+1}}\left(\frac{\left|K \cap W_{k}\right|}{\left|E \cap W_{k}\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-k+1}}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \leq c\left(\frac{\left|\mathcal{P}_{W_{k}}\left(K^{o}\right)\right|}{\left|D_{n} \cap W_{k}\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-k+1}} c_{1} h\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k+1}} C_{K}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, n$. By the definition (3.11) of $v_{n-k+1}\left(K^{o}\right)$ this means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}} \leq c_{2} h\left(C_{K}\right) v_{n-k+1}\left(K^{o}\right) \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k+1}} \log \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k+1}}\right) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting this estimate in (3.9) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{E \cap F_{m}}^{2}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}^{2}} \leq \frac{c_{2}^{2} h^{2}\left(C_{K}\right) \log ^{2}\left(\frac{2 n}{n-m+1}\right)}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{n}{n-k+1} v_{n-k+1}^{2}\left(K^{o}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The monotonicity of volume numbers shows that $v_{n-k+1}\left(K^{o}\right) \leq v_{n-m+1}\left(K^{o}\right), k=$ $1, \ldots, m$, and combining with the fact that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{n}{n-k+1} \leq n \log \left(\frac{n}{n-m}\right)
$$

we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{E \cap F_{m}} \leq \frac{c n}{m} h\left(C_{K}\right) v_{n-m+1}\left(K^{o}\right) \log ^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{2 n}{n-m}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $m=[(1-\theta) n]$. Then, (3.17) can be rewritten as

$$
M_{E \cap F_{m}} \leq c^{\prime} h\left(C_{K}\right) v_{[\theta n]}\left(K^{o}\right) \log ^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right)
$$

and, using Theorem 2.3 exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we can find $\sigma \subseteq$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|\sigma| \geq\left(1-c_{1} \theta \log \left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right)\right) n$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(K) \supseteq \frac{c \sqrt{\theta}}{\log ^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) v_{[\theta n]}\left(K^{o}\right) h\left(C_{K}\right)} D_{\sigma} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar argument shows that for some $\sigma$ of the same cardinality we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(K) \supseteq \frac{c \sqrt{\theta} w_{[\theta n]}(K)}{\log ^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) h\left(C_{K}\right)} D_{\sigma} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{s}(K)=\min \left\{\left(|K \cap F| /\left|D_{n} \cap F\right|\right)^{1 / s}: \operatorname{dim} F=s\right\}, s=1, \ldots, n$.

## 4 General case: estimate in terms of the volume ratio

In this Section we use the volume ratio $v r(K)$ of $K$ instead of the cotype- 2 constant of $X_{K}$ as a parameter for our low $M^{*}$-estimate. Let $E$ be the maximal volume ellipsoid of $K$. We start with a lemma which estimates the covering number $N(K, E)$ in terms of the volume ratio $\operatorname{vr}(K)=(|K| /|E|)^{1 / n}$. Our proof is based on Lemma 4.4 from [MS2], actually the argument given there leads to a stronger estimate, but we include a simple proof of what we need here for the sake of completeness. Recall that $N(K, L)$ is the smallest natural number $N$ for which there exist $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i \leq N}\left(x_{i}+L\right)$ :
Lemma 4.1. Let $K$ and $L$ be two symmetric convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $L \subseteq K$. Then,

$$
N(K, L) \leq c^{n} \frac{|K|}{|L|}
$$

where $c>0$ is an absolute constant.
Proof: Consider a set $N$ of points in $K$ such that $\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|_{L} \geq 1$ for every $x, x^{\prime} \in$ $N, x \neq x^{\prime}$, which has the maximal possible cardinality. Observe that the sets $\frac{2}{3} x+\frac{L}{3}, x \in N$ have disjoint interiors and, since $L \subseteq K$, they are all contained in $K$. We easily deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|N| \leq 3^{n} \frac{|K|}{|L|} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, it is clear that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in N}(x+L)$, which completes the proof.
Suppose that $K$ is any symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $E$ is the ellipsoid of maximal volume in $K$. The analogue of Lemma 3.2 in the "volume ratio" formulation is the following:

Lemma 4.2. Let $E$ be the maximal volume ellipsoid of $K$. For every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ there exists a subspace $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F=m \geq(1-\varepsilon) n$, such that

$$
M_{E \cap F} \leq[c \operatorname{vr}(K)]^{1 / \varepsilon} M_{K}
$$

where $c>0$ is an absolute constant.
Proof: As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, let

$$
E=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left\langle x, w_{j}\right\rangle^{2}}{\lambda_{j}^{2}} \leq 1\right\}
$$

where $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\lambda_{1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{n}>0$. Fix $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and consider the subspace $W_{k}=\operatorname{span}\left\{w_{k}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$. According to

Lemma 4.1, we can find $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N} \in K$ such that $N=N(K, E) \leq\left[c_{1} v r(K)\right]^{n}$ and $K \subseteq \bigcup\left(x_{i}+E\right)$. Project all the $\left(x_{i}+E\right)$ 's onto $W_{k}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \cap W_{k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{W_{k}}(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \leq N} \mathcal{P}_{W_{k}}\left(x_{j}+E\right)=\bigcup_{j \leq N}\left(\mathcal{P}_{W_{k}}\left(x_{i}\right)+E \cap W_{k}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence, $N\left(K \cap W_{k}, E \cap W_{k}\right) \leq N(K, E)$. Thus, we can estimate the ratio of the volumes of $K \cap W_{k}$ and $E \cap W_{k}$ using (4.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\left|K \cap W_{k}\right|}{\left|E \cap W_{k}\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-k+1}} \leq[N(K, E)]^{\frac{1}{n-k+1}} \leq\left[c_{1} v r(K)\right]^{\frac{n}{n-k+1}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining with (3.7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}} \leq c_{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k+1}}\left[c_{1} v r(K)\right]^{\frac{n}{n-k+1}} M_{K}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We continue as in Lemma 3.2: Given any $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, we consider the first $m$ for which $m \geq(1-\varepsilon) n$ and set $F_{m}=\operatorname{span}\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right\}$. In view of (4.5) we can compare $M_{E \cap F_{m}}$ with $M_{K}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{E \cap F_{m}}=\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{4.5}\\
\leq c_{2} M_{K}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{n}{m(n-k+1)}\left[c_{1} v r(K)\right]^{\frac{2 n}{n-k+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq M_{K}\left[c_{3} v r(K)\right]^{\frac{n}{n-m+1}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} \log ^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{n}{n-m}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

and the lemma follows with the observation that $\frac{\log (1 / \varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 1^{-}$.
Remark 4.3. By well-known results of S.J. Szarek and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann (see [Sz], [STJ]) which were extending previous work of Kashin, if $E$ is the maximal volume ellipsoid of $K$, then for every $k=1, \ldots, n-1_{n}$ there exist $k$-dimensional subspaces $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for which $E \cap F \subseteq K \cap F \subseteq(c \operatorname{vr}(K))^{\frac{n}{n-k}} E \cap F$, and this obviously implies that $M_{E \cap F} \leq[c \operatorname{vr}(K)]^{\frac{n}{n-k}} M_{K \cap F}$. This leads to the same estimate as in Lemma 4.2 above, actually if $E=D_{n}$ this is true for all subspaces $F$ in a subset $\mathcal{A}$ of $G_{n, k}$ with almost full measure $\nu_{n, k}(\mathcal{A})>1-2^{-n}$. The argument provided by Lemmata 4.1 and 4.2 gives a concrete example of a subspace on which the weaker " $M_{E \cap F}$ and $M_{K \cap F}$ " comparison is true: it can be chosen as the $k$-dimensional subspace which is coordinate with respect to $E$ and corresponds to the $k$ largest semiaxes of $E$. If $E=D_{n}$, then this weak comparison is true for all $F \in G_{n, k}$.

Combining Lemma 4.2 with Theorem 2.3 we prove our volume-ratio result:

Theorem 4.4. Let $K$ be a symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For every $\theta \in(0,1)$ there exists $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\},|\sigma| \geq(1-\theta) n$, such that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(K) \supseteq \frac{1}{\left[c_{1} v r(K)\right]^{\frac{c_{2} \log \left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right)}{\theta}} M_{K}} D_{\sigma}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are absolute positive constants.
Proof: Let $E$ be the maximal volume ellipsoid of $K$, and set $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\theta)=\frac{\theta}{c_{2} \log \left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right)}$, where $c_{2}>0$ is a constant to be chosen. Using Lemma 4.2 we find a subspace $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{dim} F \geq(1-\varepsilon) n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{E \cap F} \leq\left[c_{4} v r(K)\right]^{1 / \varepsilon} M_{K} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c_{2}$ is large enough, we easily check that $\theta \geq c_{1} \varepsilon \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right)$ where $c_{1}$ is the constant in Theorem 2.3. We can therefore apply Theorem 2.3 for $E \cap F$ to find $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|\sigma| \geq(1-\theta) n$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E \cap F) \supseteq \frac{c \sqrt{\theta}}{2 \sqrt{2} \log ^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) M_{E \cap F}} D_{\sigma} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.6) with (4.7) we conclude the proof.
For classes of spaces with uniformly bounded volume ratio, Theorem 4.3 gives an optimal answer as long as, say, $\theta \geq \frac{1}{2}$. The estimate obtained "explodes" if $\operatorname{vr}(K)$ is large or if $\theta$ is needed to be close to 0 .

## 5 Linear duality relations for coordinate sections of ellipsoids

Let $K$ be a symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We introduce the integer valued functions $t, t_{c}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t(r)=t(K, r)=\max \{k \leq n: \text { there exists a subspace } E \\
& \text { with } \left.\operatorname{dim} E=k, \text { such that } \frac{1}{r}|x| \leq\|x\| \text { for every } x \in E\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{c}(r)= & t_{c}(K, r)=\max \{k \leq n: \text { there exists a coordinate subspace } E \\
& \text { with } \left.\operatorname{dim} E=k \text { such that } \frac{1}{r}|x| \leq\|x\| \text { for every } x \in E\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that if $K$ is an ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then $t(K, r)+t\left(K^{o}, \frac{1}{r}\right) \geq n$. In [M5] it is proved that for every body $K$, for every $r>0$, and for every $\tau \in(0,1)$, one has a similar duality relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(K, r)+t\left(K^{o}, \frac{1}{\tau r}\right) \geq(1-\tau) n-C \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ is a universal constant. The proof of this fact is based on the strong form (1.2) of the low $M^{*}$-estimate and on the "distance lemma": if $\frac{1}{a}|x| \leq\|x\| \leq$ $b|x|$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and if $\left(M_{K} / b\right)^{2}+\left(M_{K^{\circ}} / a\right)^{2}=s>1$, then $a b \leq \frac{1}{s-1}$.

In this Section we establish a coordinate version of (5.1) in the ellipsoidal case. Our estimate depends on how close the ellipsoid is to being in M-position:

Definition: For a symmetric convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ we denote by $\lambda_{K}$ its volume radius: $\lambda_{K}=(|K| /|D|)^{1 / n}$. We also write $N_{K}$ for $N\left(K, \lambda_{K} D\right)$ and say that $K$ is in $M_{\delta^{-}}$position if $\delta \geq \frac{1}{n} \log N_{K}$.

Our first lemma provides some simple estimates which show that this position is "stable" under the operations of taking intersection or convex hull with a ball:
Lemma 5.1. Let $K$ be a symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $r, r_{1}>0$ be given. Define $K_{r}=K \cap r D$ and $K^{r_{1}}=\operatorname{co}\left(K \cup r_{1} D\right)$. Then,
(i) $N_{K_{r}} \leq \max \left\{3^{n} N_{K}^{2}, 9^{n} N_{K}\right\}$.
(ii) $N_{K^{r_{1}}} \leq 5^{n} N_{K}$.

Proof: (i) From the Brunn-Minkowski inequality it easily follows that $|K \cap r D| \geq$ $|K \cap(x+r D)|, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. This implies that $|K| \leq N(K, r D)|K \cap r D|$ or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{K}^{n} \leq N(K, r D) \lambda_{K_{r}}^{n} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We distinguish two cases:
(1) If $\lambda_{K}<r$, then $N(K, r D) \leq N_{K}$ and, by (5.2), $\lambda_{K}^{n} \leq N_{K} \lambda_{K_{r}}^{n}$. It follows that

$$
N_{K_{r}} \leq N\left(K, \lambda_{K_{r}} D\right) \leq N_{K} N\left(D, \frac{\lambda_{K_{r}}}{\lambda_{K}} D\right) \leq N_{K} N\left(D, \frac{1}{N_{K}} D\right) \leq 3^{n} N_{K}^{2}
$$

(2) If $\lambda_{K}>r$, then $N(K, r D) \leq N\left(K, \lambda_{K} D\right) N\left(D, \frac{r}{\lambda_{K}} D\right) \leq N_{K} 3^{n}\left(\frac{\lambda_{K}}{r}\right)^{n}$ and hence, by $(5.2),\left(\frac{r}{\lambda_{K_{r}}}\right)^{n} \leq 3^{n} N_{K}$. It follows that

$$
N_{K_{r}} \leq N\left(r D, \lambda_{K_{r}} D\right) \leq 3^{n}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda_{K_{r}}}\right)^{n} \leq 9^{n} N_{K}
$$

(ii) We obviously have $\lambda_{K^{r_{1}}} \geq \max \left\{\lambda_{K}, r_{1}\right\}$. Also, $K^{r_{1}} \subseteq K+r_{1} D$, which gives

$$
N_{K^{r_{1}}} \leq N\left(K^{r_{1}}, 2 \lambda_{K^{r_{1}}} D\right) N\left(D, \frac{1}{2} D\right) \leq 5^{n} N\left(K+r_{1} D,\left(\lambda_{K}+r_{1}\right) D\right) \leq 5^{n} N_{K}
$$

For an arbitrary symmetric convex body $K$, one has in general the information $\lambda_{K} M_{K} \geq 1$ as a consequence of the polar coordinates formula for volume. Our
next lemma provides an "inverse" inequality in terms of the parameters $N_{K^{\circ}}$ and $b=\sup \left\{\|x\|: x \in S^{n-1}\right\}:$

Lemma 5.2. Let $K$ be a symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and assume that $\|x\| \leq b|x|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then,

$$
M_{K} \leq \frac{c}{\lambda_{K}} N_{K^{o}}^{t / n}
$$

where $c>0$ is an absolute constant, and $t \leq C\left(\frac{b}{M_{K}}\right)^{2}$.
Proof: Using Theorem 6 from [BLM] (to be more precise, using an argument identical to the one given there and the observation that what is really used is the ratio $b / M_{K}$ ), one can find orthogonal transformations $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t} \in O(n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M_{K}}{2} D \subseteq T=\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} u_{i}\left(K^{o}\right) \subseteq 2 M_{K} D \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $t \leq C\left(\frac{b}{M_{K}}\right)^{2}$, where $C>0$ is an absolute constant.
On observing that $N\left(T, \lambda_{K^{\circ}} D\right) \leq\left[\left(N\left(K^{o}, \lambda_{K^{\circ}} D\right)\right]^{t}=N_{K^{\circ}}^{t}\right.$, we can estimate $M_{K}$ by (5.4) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{K} \leq 2\left(\frac{|T|}{|D|}\right)^{1 / n} \leq 2 \lambda_{K^{o}} N_{K^{o}}^{t / n} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the Blaschke-Santaló inequality implies that $\lambda_{K} \lambda_{K^{\circ}} \leq 1$, and hence the proof of the Lemma is complete.

We can now pass to the proof of the main result of this section:
Theorem 5.3. Let $E$ be an ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and assume that both $E$ and $E^{o}$ are in $M_{\delta}$-position. For every $r>0$ and every $\tau \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
t_{c}(E, r)+t_{c}\left(E^{o}, \frac{u(\tau, \delta)}{r}\right) \geq(1-\tau) n
$$

where $u(\tau, \delta)=\frac{c \log \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)}{\sqrt{\tau}} e^{\frac{c \delta \log ^{2}\left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)}{\tau}}$, and $c>0$ is an absolute constant.
Proof: Let $r>0$ and $\tau \in(0,1)$ be given. Consider the body $E_{r}=E \cap r D$. Since $E_{r}$ is $\sqrt{2}$-isomorphic to an ellipsoid, one can easily check that Theorem 2.2 holds for $E_{r}$ : for every $\theta \in(0,1)$ we can find $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|\sigma| \geq(1-\theta) n$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}\left(E_{r}^{o}\right) \supseteq\left[g(\theta) / M\left(E_{r}^{o}\right)\right] D_{\sigma}$, where $g(\theta)=c \sqrt{\theta} / 2 \sqrt{\log (2 / \theta)}$ and $c$ is the same constant as in Theorem 2.2.
We distinguish three cases:
Case 1: $\frac{M\left(E_{r}^{o}\right)}{r} \in[g(\tau), g(1))$.
In this case, consider any $\lambda \in(\tau, 1]$ with $\frac{1}{r} M\left(E_{r}^{o}\right)<g(\lambda)$. We can find $\sigma_{1} \subseteq$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $\left|\sigma_{1}\right| \geq(1-\lambda) n$ such that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_{1}}\left(E_{r}^{o}\right) \supseteq \frac{g(\lambda)}{M\left(E_{r}^{o}\right)} D_{\sigma_{1}}
$$

and it is easy to check that, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\sigma_{1}}, \max \left\{\|x\|, \frac{1}{r}|x|\right\}=\|x\|_{E_{r}}>\frac{1}{r}|x|$, which means that $\frac{1}{r}|x| \leq\|x\|$, i.e

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{c}(E, r) \geq(1-\lambda) n \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the infimum of all $\lambda$ 's for which $\frac{M\left(E_{r}^{o}\right)}{r}<g(\lambda)$, we conclude that (5.6) also holds for the solution in $\lambda$ of the equation $M\left(E_{r}^{o}\right)=\operatorname{rg}(\lambda)$.

Now, choose $\mu \in(0,1)$ such that $(1-\lambda)+(1-\mu)=1-\tau$, and $r_{1}>0$ satisfying $M\left(\left(E_{r}\right)^{r_{1}}\right) r_{1}<g(\mu)$ (this is always possible since the left hand side is decreasing in $r_{1}$ and tends to zero as $\left.r_{1} \rightarrow \infty\right)$. Since $\left(E_{r}\right)^{r_{1}}$ is 2-isomorphic to an ellipsoid, we can find $\sigma_{2} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\},\left|\sigma_{2}\right| \geq(1-\mu) n$, with

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_{2}}\left(\left(E_{r}\right)^{r_{1}}\right) \supseteq \frac{g(\mu)}{M\left(\left(E_{r}\right)^{r_{1}}\right)} D_{\sigma_{2}}
$$

thus $\max \left\{r_{1}|x|,\|x\|_{E_{r}^{\circ}}\right\}=\|x\|_{\left[\left(E_{r}\right)^{r_{1}}\right]} \geq \frac{g(\mu)}{M\left(\left(E_{r}\right)^{\left.r_{1}\right)}\right.}|x|>r_{1}|x|$, i.e $\|x\|_{E^{\circ}} \geq\|x\|_{E_{r}^{\circ}}>$ $r_{1}|x|$ on $\mathbb{R}^{\sigma_{2}}$, which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{c}\left(E^{o}, \frac{1}{r_{1}}\right) \geq(1-\mu) n . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, we may take $r_{1}$ to be the solution of the equation $M\left(\left(E_{r}\right)^{r_{1}}\right) r_{1}=g(\mu)$ in $r_{1}$.

Combining (5.6) with (5.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{c}(E, r)+t_{c}\left(E^{o}, \frac{1}{r_{1}}\right) \geq(1-\tau) n \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it remains to compare $r$ with $r_{1}$. Let us write $W$ for the body $\left(E_{r}\right)^{r_{1}}$. By the way $W$ has been constructed, it is easily checked that the following are satisfied:
(i) $M(W) r_{1}=g(\mu)$ and $M\left(W^{o}\right) \geq M\left(E_{r}^{o}\right)=r g(\lambda)$.
(ii) $\|x\|_{W} \leq \frac{1}{r_{1}}|x|$ and $\|x\|_{W^{\circ}} \leq r|x|, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(iii) $N_{W}^{1 / n} \leq c_{1} N_{E}^{c_{2} / n}$ and $N_{W^{\circ}}^{1 / n} \leq c_{1} N_{E^{\circ}}^{c_{2} / n}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ are absolute constants. This is a simple consequence of Lemma 5.1, since both $W$ and $W^{o}$ are formed from $E$ and $E^{o}$ with two successive operations of taking intersection and convex hull with balls.

We simply write

$$
\frac{r}{r_{1}}=\frac{r}{M\left(W^{o}\right)} \frac{1}{r_{1} M(W)} M(W) M\left(W^{o}\right)
$$

and making use of (i)-(iii) and of Lemma 5.2 we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r}{r_{1}} \leq \frac{c}{g(\lambda) g(\mu)} N_{E^{\circ}}^{C / n g^{2}(\mu)} N_{E}^{C / n g^{2}(\lambda)} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, at some point, we also used the fact that $\lambda_{E} \lambda_{E^{\circ}} \simeq 1$. Finally, assuming that both $E$ and $E^{o}$ are in $M_{\delta}$-position, we rewrite (5.9) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r}{r_{1}} \leq \frac{c}{g(\lambda) g(\mu)} e^{C \delta / g^{2}(\lambda) g^{2}(\mu)} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\lambda+\mu=1+\tau$ and with this condition we can easily check that $\frac{1}{g(\lambda) g(\mu)} \leq$ $\frac{c \log \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)}{\sqrt{\tau}}$, which completes the proof in this case.
Case 2: $\frac{M\left(E_{r}^{o}\right)}{r} \geq g(1)$.
We choose $r_{1}>0$ such that $M\left(\left(E_{r}\right)^{r_{1}}\right) r_{1}=g(\tau)$ and as above we conclude that $t_{c}\left(E^{o}, \frac{1}{r_{1}}\right) \geq(1-\tau) n$. The estimate for $r / r_{1}$ is done exactly in the same way, the only difference being that now $r / M\left(E_{r}^{o}\right) \leq 1 / g(1)$.
Case 3: $\frac{M\left(\left(E_{r}\right)^{\circ}\right)}{r}<g(\tau)$.
This is the simplest case since we already have $t_{c}(E, r) \geq(1-\tau) n$.

## 6 Integer points inside an ellipsoid: some remarks

Consider an arbitrary ellipsoid $E$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Write $E$ in the form (2.1), so that $\sum_{j \leq n}\left|u_{j}\right|^{2}=n M_{E}^{2}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the $\left|u_{j}\right|^{\prime}$ 's are arranged in the increasing order, therefore a simple application of Markov's inequality shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{j}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-j+1}} M_{E} \quad, \quad j=1, \ldots, n \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the $j$-th successive minimum $\lambda_{j}(E)$ of $E$ is defined by $\lambda_{j}(E)=\min \{\lambda>$ $\left.0: \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{span}\left(\lambda E \cap \mathbf{Z}^{n}\right)\right) \geq j\right\}$. Inequality (6.1) gives an estimate on the successive minima of $E$ in terms of $M_{E}$ :
Fact I: Let $E$ be an ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then, $\lambda_{j}(E) \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-j+1}} M_{E}, j=1, \ldots, n$. In particular, if $M_{E} \leq 1$ then $E$ contains an integer point different from the origin.

Note that if $M_{E}>1$ then $E$ may contain no integer points other than the origin. Consider for example a ball of radius $r=\frac{1}{M_{E}}$.

Let us concentrate on the case $M_{E}<1$. If $M_{E}<\left|D_{n}\right|^{1 / n} / 2$, then we obviously have $|E|>2^{n}$ and Minkowski's theorem with its relatives start giving estimates on the cardinality of the set of integer points in $E$. We are interested in the range $\left|D_{n}\right|^{1 / n} / 2<M_{E}<1$. From Fact I we know that $E$ contains non-trivial integer points, and using $M_{E}$ as a parameter we try to estimate the number of them. Theorem 2.4 can be useful in this direction:

Let $D_{m}$ be the $m$-dimensional Euclidean unit ball, and define $d(t, m)=\mid t D_{m} \cap$ $\mathbf{Z}^{m} \mid$ be the cardinality of the set of integer points in $t D_{m}$. A simple lower bound for $d(t, m)$ can be given by counting the points with coordinates $0, \pm 1$ in $t D_{m}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(t, m) \geq \sum_{k=0}^{\left[t^{2}\right]}\binom{n}{k} 2^{k} \geq\binom{ n}{\left[t^{2}\right]} 2^{\left[t^{2}\right]} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2.4, for every $m \leq c_{1} \sqrt{n}$ we can find $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|\sigma|=m$ and $E \cap \mathbb{R}^{\sigma} \supseteq \frac{c_{2}}{\sqrt{m} M_{E}} D_{\sigma}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ are absolute constants. Assuming that $M_{E}<c_{2}$ and using (6.2) we have some non-trivial information: It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E \cap \mathbf{Z}^{n}\right| \geq \max _{m}\left\{\left|E \cap \mathbf{Z}^{\sigma}\right|:|\sigma|=m \leq c_{1} \sqrt{n}\right\} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have:
Fact II: Let $E$ be an ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $M_{E}<c_{2}<1$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|E \cap \mathbf{Z}^{n}\right| \geq \max _{m}\left\{d\left(\frac{c_{2}}{\sqrt{m} M_{E}}, m\right): m \leq c_{1} \sqrt{n}\right\} \\
& \geq \max _{m}\left\{\binom{n}{\left[c_{2}^{2} / m M_{E}^{2}\right]} 2^{\left[c_{2}^{2} / m M_{E}^{2}\right]}: m \leq c_{1} \sqrt{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The question of computation of the number of integer points inside an ellipsoid (or, more generally, inside a symmetric convex body) in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ was relaxed in several directions in [M6]. One of the questions stated asks for "almost integer" points inside $E$ in the following precise sense: for a given $\theta \in(0,1)$, find a projection of $E$ onto some coordinate subspace $\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}$ with $|\sigma| \geq(1-\theta) n$, which contains as many as possible integer points. Then, $E$ itself will contain many points with $[(1-\theta) n]$ coordinates which are distinct $[(1-\theta) n]$-dimensional integers.

Our low $M^{*}$-estimate for ellipsoids provides an answer to this question in terms of $M_{E}$. We know that there exists $\sigma \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\},|\sigma|=[(1-\theta) n]$, such that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E) \supseteq \frac{c \sqrt{\theta}}{\sqrt{\log \left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right)} M_{E}} D_{\sigma}
$$

This, and (6.2), lead to the following:
Fact III: Let $E$ be an ellipsoid in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For every $\theta \in(0,1)$ there exists $\sigma \subseteq$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|\sigma|=[(1-\theta) n]$ for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}(E) & \cap \mathbf{Z}^{\sigma} \left\lvert\, \geq d\left(\frac{c \sqrt{\theta}}{\sqrt{\log \left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right)} M_{E}},[(1-\theta) n]\right)\right. \\
& \geq\binom{[(1-\theta) n]}{\left[\frac{c^{2} \theta}{\log \left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) M_{E}^{2}}\right]} 2^{\left[\frac{c^{2} \theta}{\log \left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) M_{E}^{2}}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, the results in Sections 3 and 4 give analogous estimates for an arbitrary symmetric convex body.
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