On the isotropic constant of random polytopes

N. Dafnis, A. Giannopoulos and O. Guédon

Abstract

Let K be an isotropic 1-unconditional convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . For every N > n consider N independent random points x_1, \ldots, x_N uniformly distributed in K. We prove that, with probability greater than $1 - C_1 \exp(-cn)$ if $N \ge c_1 n$ and greater than $1 - C_1 \exp(-cn/\log n)$ if $n < N < c_1 n$, the random polytopes $K_N := \operatorname{conv}\{\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_N\}$ and $S_N := \operatorname{conv}\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ have isotropic constant bounded by an absolute constant C > 0.

1 Introduction

A convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n is called isotropic if it has volume |K| = 1, center of mass at the origin, and there is a constant $L_K > 0$ such that

(1.1)
$$\int_{K} \langle x, \theta \rangle^2 dx = L_K^2$$

for every θ in the Euclidean unit sphere S_2^{n-1} . It is not hard to see that for every convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n there exists an affine transformation T of \mathbb{R}^n such that T(K) is isotropic. Moreover, this isotropic image is unique up to orthogonal transformations; consequently, one may define the isotropic constant L_K as an invariant of the affine class of K. One can check that the isotropic position of Kminimizes the quantity

(1.2)
$$\frac{1}{|T(K)|^{1+\frac{2}{n}}} \int_{T(K)} \|x\|_2^2 dx$$

over all non-degenerate affine transformations T of \mathbb{R}^n . In particular,

(1.3)
$$nL_K^2 \le \frac{1}{|K|^{1+\frac{2}{n}}} \int_K \|x\|_2^2 dx.$$

It is conjectured that there exists an absolute constant C > 0 such that $L_K \leq C$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n . The best known general estimate is currently due to Klartag [13] who proved that $L_K \leq c\sqrt[4]{n}$; Bourgain had proved

in [6] that $L_K \leq c \sqrt[4]{n} \log n$. The conjecture is related to the slicing problem, which asks if there exists an absolute constant c > 0 such that every convex body with volume 1 has a hyperplane section whose volume exceeds c. The connection comes from the fact that

(1.4)
$$c_1 \le L_K \cdot |K \cap \theta^{\perp}| \le c_2$$

for every $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ and every isotropic convex body K, where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are absolute constants. We refer to the article [15] of Milman and Pajor for background information about isotropic convex bodies.

The purpose of this note is to establish a positive answer to the problem for some classes of random convex bodies. The study of this question was initiated by Klartag and Kozma in [14] with the case of Gaussian random polytopes. They proved that if N > n and if G_1, \ldots, G_N are independent standard Gaussian random vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , then the isotropic constant of the random polytopes

(1.5)
$$K_N := \operatorname{conv}\{\pm G_1, \dots, \pm G_N\}$$
 and $S_N := \operatorname{conv}\{G_1, \dots, G_N\}$

is bounded by an absolute constant C > 0 with probability greater than $1 - Ce^{-cn}$. The argument of [14] works for other classes of random polytopes with vertices which have independent coordinates (for example, if the vertices are uniformly distributed in the cube $Q_n := [-1/2, 1/2]^n$ or in the discrete cube $E_2^n := \{-1, 1\}^n$). Alonso–Gutiérrez (see [1]) has recently obtained a positive answer in the situation where K_N or S_N is spanned by N random points uniformly distributed on the Euclidean sphere S_2^{n-1} . We study the following problem:

Question 1.1 Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . For every N > n consider N independent random points x_1, \ldots, x_N uniformly distributed in K and define the random polytopes

(1.6)
$$K_N := \operatorname{conv}\{\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_N\}$$
 and $S_N := \operatorname{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}.$

Is it true that, with probability tending to 1 as $n \to \infty$, one has $L_{K_N} \leq CL_K$ and $L_{S_N} \leq CL_K$ where C > 0 is a constant independent from K, n and N?

We give an affirmative answer in the case of 1-unconditional convex bodies. That is, we make the additional assumptions that K is centrally symmetric and that, after a linear transformation, the standard orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n is a 1-unconditional basis for $\|\cdot\|_K$: for every choice of real numbers t_1, \ldots, t_n and every choice of signs $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$,

(1.7)
$$\left\|\varepsilon_{1}t_{1}e_{1}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{n}t_{n}e_{n}\right\|_{K}=\left\|t_{1}e_{1}+\cdots+t_{n}e_{n}\right\|_{K}.$$

Then, it is easily checked that one can bring K to the isotropic position by a diagonal operator. It is also not hard to prove that the isotropic constant of K satisfies $L_K \simeq 1$. The upper bound follows from the Loomis–Whitney inequality; see also [4] where the inequality $2L_K^2 \leq 1$ is proved. On the other hand, recall that for every convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n one has $L_K \geq L_{B_2^n} \geq c$, where c > 0 is an absolute constant (see [15]). The precise formulation of our result is the following.

Theorem 1.2 Let K be an isotropic 1-unconditional convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . For every N > n consider N independent random points x_1, \ldots, x_N uniformly distributed in K. Then, with probability greater than $1 - C_1 \exp(-cn)$ if $N \ge c_1 n$ and greater than $1 - C_1 \exp(-cn/\log n)$ if $n < N < c_1 n$, the random polytopes $K_N := \operatorname{conv}\{\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_N\}$ and $S_N := \operatorname{conv}\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ have isotropic constant bounded by an absolute constant C > 0.

The main result is proved in Section 2. Our method is based on the approach of [14] and on precise results of Bobkov and Nazarov from [5] about the ψ_2 -behavior of linear functionals on isotropic 1-unconditional convex bodies. We conclude with remarks and comments in Section 3.

Notation. We work in \mathbb{R}^n , which is equipped with a Euclidean structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_p$ the norm of ℓ_p^n , $1 \le p \le \infty$, and write B_p^n for the unit ball and S_p^{n-1} for the unit sphere of ℓ_p^n . Volume is denoted by $|\cdot|$. The homothet of B_p^n of volume 1 is denoted by \overline{B}_p^n . The letters c, c', C, c_1, c_2 etc. denote absolute positive constants which may change from line to line.

2 Proof of the theorem

It was mentioned in the Introduction that if D is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n then $|D|^{2/n}nL_D^2 \leq \frac{1}{|D|} \int_D ||x||_2^2 dx$. Our starting point will be a stronger estimate for L_D in terms of the ℓ_1^n -norm (see [15, Paragraph 3.6]):

Lemma 2.1 Let D be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then,

(2.1)
$$|D|^{1/n} n L_D \le c \frac{1}{|D|} \int_D ||x||_1 dx$$

where c > 0 is an absolute constant.

In view of Lemma 2.1, in order to prove that $K_N := \operatorname{conv}\{\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_N\}$ (or $S_N := \operatorname{conv}\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$) has bounded isotropic constant with probability close to 1, it suffices to give a lower bound for the volume radius $|K_N|^{1/n}$ (or $|S_N|^{1/n}$ respectively) and an upper bound for the expected value of $\|\cdot\|_1$ on K_N (or S_N respectively). Observe that the problem is affinely invariant, and hence, we may assume that K is an isotropic convex body.

2.1 Lower bound for the volume radius

Since $K_N \supseteq S_N$ for every choice of points $x_1, \ldots, x_N \in K$, it is enough to give a lower bound for $|S_N|^{1/n}$. This is a consequence of the following observations: Fact 1. It was proved in [10, Lemma 3.3] (see also [12, Lemma 2.5]) that if K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n with volume 1 and if \overline{B}_2^n is a ball in \mathbb{R}^n with volume 1, then

(2.2)
$$\operatorname{Prob}(|S_N| \ge \rho) \ge \operatorname{Prob}(|\overline{B}_2^n|_N| \ge \rho)$$

for every $\rho > 0$. This reduces the problem to the case $K = \overline{B}_2^n$.

Fact 2. It was proved in [11] that there exist $c_1 > 1$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that if $N \ge c_1 n$ and x_1, \ldots, x_N are independent random points uniformly distributed in \overline{B}_2^n , then

(2.3)
$$S_N := \operatorname{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_N\} \supseteq c_2 \min\left\{\frac{\sqrt{\log(2N/n)}}{\sqrt{n}}, 1\right\} \overline{B}_2^n$$

with probability greater than $1 - \exp(-n)$. Actually, the argument from [11] shows that, for every $\delta > 0$, if $N \ge (1 + \delta)n$ then (2.3) holds true with for a random K_N with $c_2 = c_2(\delta)$; see [1, Lemma 3.1].

Combining the above we have the first part of the next Proposition:

Proposition 2.2 Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n with volume |K| = 1 and let x_1, \ldots, x_N be independent random points uniformly distributed in K.

(i) If $N \ge c_1 n$ then, with probability greater than $1 - \exp(-n)$ we have

(2.4)
$$|K_N|^{1/n} \ge |S_N|^{1/n} \ge c_2 \min\left\{\frac{\sqrt{\log(2N/n)}}{\sqrt{n}}, 1\right\},$$

where $c_1 > 1$ and $c_2 > 0$ are absolute constants.

(ii) If $n < N < c_1 n$ then (2.4) holds true with probability greater than $1 - \exp(-cn/\log n)$, where c > 0 is an absolute constant.

Part (ii) (the case $n < N < c_1 n$) has to be treated separately. We first consider the symmetric random polytope K_N . Because of Fact 1, we may assume that $K = \overline{B}_2^n$ and, by monotonicity, it is enough to prove that with probability close to one $K_n = \text{conv}\{\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_n\}$ has the appropriate volume. We write

(2.5)
$$|K_n| = \frac{2^n}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^n d(x_k, \operatorname{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}),$$

where $\operatorname{span}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ and d(z, A) is the Euclidean distance from z to A. As in [14], we observe that the random variables $Y_k := d(x_k, \operatorname{span}\{x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}\})$ are independent. Using the fact that the radius of \overline{B}_2^n is of the order of \sqrt{n} and taking into account rotational invariance, we see that there exists an absolute constant $c_2 > 0$ such that

(2.6)
$$\operatorname{Prob}(Y_k \le c_2 t \sqrt{n}) \le \operatorname{Prob}(d(x, E_{k-1}) \le t)$$

for every t > 0, where x is uniformly distributed in B_2^n and $E_k = \text{span}\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$.

A similar question is studied in [2] (where x is uniformly distributed on S^{n-1} , but the proof and the estimates for $x \in B_2^n$ are similar). We will use [2, Theorem 4.3]: assume that $3 \le k \le n-3$ and set $\lambda = k/n$. If $\frac{1}{n} \le \frac{\sin^2 \varepsilon}{1-\lambda} \le n$ and $\frac{1}{n} \le \frac{\cos^2 \varepsilon}{\lambda} \le n$, then

(2.7)
$$c_1 \frac{e^{-\alpha_n u}}{\sqrt{u}} \le \operatorname{Prob}(\rho(x, E_k) \le \varepsilon) \le c_2 \frac{e^{-\alpha_n u}}{\sqrt{u}},$$

where ρ is the geodesic distance, $\alpha_n > 0$ and $\alpha_n \to 1$, $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are absolute constants and $u = \frac{n}{2} \left[(1 - \lambda) \log \frac{1 - \lambda}{\sin^2 \varepsilon} + \lambda \log \frac{\lambda}{\cos^2 \varepsilon} \right]$. We apply this fact as follows: assume that $\lambda = \frac{k}{n} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\log n}$. We define ε_k by

the equation $\sin^2 \varepsilon_k = (1 - \lambda)/4$. Then,

(2.8)
$$u_k = \frac{n}{2} \left[(1-\lambda)\log 4 + \lambda \log \frac{4\lambda}{3+\lambda} \right] = \frac{n}{2} \left[\log 4 + \lambda \log \frac{\lambda}{3+\lambda} \right].$$

Consider the function $H: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $H(\lambda) = \log 4 + \lambda \log \frac{\lambda}{3+\lambda} - \delta(1-\lambda)$, where $\delta = \log 2 - 3/8 > 0$. Then, $H'(\lambda) < 0$ on [0,1] and H(1) = 0. Therefore,

(2.9)
$$u_k \ge \frac{\delta(1-\lambda)n}{2} \ge \frac{\delta n}{2\log n}.$$

Since ρ and d are comparable, it follows that

(2.10)
$$\operatorname{Prob}(Y_k \le c_3 \sqrt{n-k}) \le \exp(-cn/\log n)$$

for all $k \leq k_0 := \lfloor n - \frac{n}{\log n} \rfloor$. For $k > k_0$ we define ε_k by the equation $\sin^2 \varepsilon_k =$ $(1-\lambda)/n$; then, it is easy to check that $u_k \ge cn \log n$.

With this choice of ε_k it is clear that, with probability greater than 1 – $\exp(-cn/\log n)$, we have

(2.11)
$$|K_n| \ge \frac{2^n}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^{k_0} (c_3 \sqrt{n-k}) \times \prod_{k=k_0+1}^n \frac{c_4}{\sqrt{n}} \ge \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^n$$

This extends the estimate (2.4) of Proposition 2.2 to the range $n \leq N < c_1 n$ (in the symmetric case) with a slightly worse probability estimate.

For the random polytope S_N we follow [14]: we may assume that N = n+1. We define $y_i = x_i - x_1$, i = 1, ..., n + 1 and consider the symmetric random polytope $K'_{n+1} = \operatorname{conv}\{\pm y_2, \ldots, \pm y_{n+1}\}$. By the Rogers–Shephard inequality we have

(2.12)
$$|S_{n+1}| = |\operatorname{conv}\{0, y_2, \dots, y_{n+1}\}| \ge 4^{-n} |K'_{n+1}|,$$

and hence, it remains to estimate $|K'_{n+1}|$ from below. Consider the linear map F defined by $F(x_i) = x_i - x_1$, $2 \le i \le n+1$. With probability one, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1} are linearly independent, and $K'_{n+1} = F(D_n)$, where $D_n = \operatorname{conv}\{\pm x_2, \ldots, \pm x_{n+1}\}$. Therefore,

(2.13)
$$|K'_{n+1}| = |\det F| \cdot |D_n|.$$

Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $\langle v, x_i \rangle = 1, 2 \leq i \leq n+1$. Since $\|x_i\|_2 \leq c\sqrt{n}$ for all i, we have $||v||_2 \ge c_1/\sqrt{n}$ by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. Observe that $F(x) = x - \langle x, v \rangle x_1$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; therefore, det $F = 1 - \langle v, x_1 \rangle$. This implies that (2.14)

$$\operatorname{Prob}(|\det F| < 2^{-n}) = \mathbb{E}_v \left[\operatorname{Prob}(|\langle v, x \rangle - 1| < 2^{-n}) \right] \le \left| \left\{ x : |\langle x, \theta_v \rangle| \le \frac{1}{\|v\|_2 2^n} \right\} \right|,$$

where $\theta_v = v/||v||_2$, because the centered strip has maximal volume among all strips of width 2^{-n} which are perpendicular to θ_v . Since $||v||_2 \ge c/\sqrt{n}$, we easily check that the last quantity in (2.14) is bounded by $\sqrt{n} \exp(-cn)$. We have already seen that, with probability greater than $1 - \exp(-cn/\log n)$, the volume of D_n is larger than $(c/\sqrt{n})^n$. Since we also have $|\det F| \ge 2^{-n}$, the proof is complete.

2.2 Upper bound for the expectation of $\|\cdot\|_1$

Let (Ω, μ) be a probability space and let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a strictly increasing convex function with $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(t) = \infty$. The Orlicz space $L_{\phi}(\mu)$ is the space of all measurable functions f on Ω for which $\int_{\Omega} \phi(|f|/t) d\mu < \infty$ for some t > 0, equipped with the norm $||f||_{\phi} = \inf\{t > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \phi(|f|/t) d\mu \le 1\}$. We will only need the functions $\psi_{\alpha}(t) = e^{t^{\alpha}} - 1$. In particular,

(2.15)
$$||f||_{\psi_2} = \inf\left\{t > 0 : \int e^{(f(x)/t)^2} d\mu(x) \le 2\right\}.$$

We will make use of the following Bernstein type inequality (see [8]):

Lemma 2.3 Let g_1, \ldots, g_m be independent random variables with $\mathbb{E} g_j = 0$ on some probability space (Ω, μ) . Assume that $||g_j||_{\psi_2} \leq A$ for all $j \leq m$ and some constant A > 0. Then,

(2.16)
$$\operatorname{Prob}\left\{\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{j}\right| > \alpha m\right\} \le 2\exp(-\alpha^{2}m/8A^{2})$$

for every $\alpha > 0$.

Let K be an isotropic 1-unconditional convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . The ψ_2 behavior of linear functionals $x \mapsto \langle x, \theta \rangle$ on K is described by the following result of Bobkov and Nazarov from [5].

Lemma 2.4 Let K be an isotropic 1-unconditional convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . For every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

(2.17)
$$\|\langle \cdot, \theta \rangle\|_{\psi_2} \le c\sqrt{n} \|\theta\|_{\infty}$$

where c > 0 is an absolute constant.

Now, let y_1, \ldots, y_n be independent random points uniformly distributed in K. We fix $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\theta\|_{\infty} = 1$ and a choice of signs $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$, and apply Lemma 2.3 to the random variables $g_j(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \langle \varepsilon_j y_j, \theta \rangle$ on $\Omega = K^n$. From Lemma 2.4 (with m = n) we see that

(2.18)
$$\operatorname{Prob}\left\{\left|\left\langle\varepsilon_{1}y_{1}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{n}y_{n},\theta\right\rangle\right|>\alpha n\right\}\leq 2\exp(-c\alpha^{2})$$

for every $\alpha > 0$. Consider a 1/2-net \mathcal{N} for S_{∞}^n with cardinality $|\mathcal{N}| \leq 5^n$. Choosing $\alpha = C\sqrt{n}\sqrt{\log(2N/n)}$ where C > 0 is a large enough absolute constant, we see that, with probability greater than $1 - \exp(-c_1 n \log(2N/n))$ we have

(2.19)
$$|\langle \varepsilon_1 y_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n y_n, \theta \rangle| \le C n^{3/2} \sqrt{\log(2N/n)}$$

for every $\theta \in \mathcal{N}$ and every choice of signs $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$. Using a standard successive approximation argument, and taking into account all 2^n possible choices of signs $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$, we get that, with probability greater than $1 - \exp(-c_2 n \log(2N/n))$,

(2.20)
$$\max_{\varepsilon_j=\pm 1} \|\varepsilon_1 y_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n y_n\|_1 \le C n^{3/2} \sqrt{\log(2N/n)}.$$

Now, let $N \ge n$ and let x_1, \ldots, x_N be independent random points uniformly distributed in K. Since the number of subsets $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ of $\{\pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_N\}$ is bounded by $(2eN/n)^n$, we immediately get the following.

Proposition 2.5 Let K be an isotropic 1-unconditional convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Fix N > n and let x_1, \ldots, x_N be independent random points uniformly distributed in K. Then, with probability greater than $1 - \exp(-cn\log(2N/n))$ we have

(2.21)
$$\max_{\varepsilon_j=\pm 1} \|\varepsilon_1 x_{i_1} + \dots + \varepsilon_n x_{i_n}\|_1 \le C n^{3/2} \sqrt{\log(2N/n)}$$

for all $\{i_1, ..., i_n\} \subseteq \{1, ..., N\}.$

Observe that, with probability equal to 1, all the facets of K_N or S_N are simplices. Also, if $F = \operatorname{conv}\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ is a facet of K_N then we must have $y_j = \varepsilon_j x_{i_j}$ and $i_j \neq i_s$ for all $1 \leq j \neq s \leq n$. In other words, x_i and $-x_i$ cannot belong to the same facet of K_N .

We first consider the case of the symmetric random polytope K_N . The next lemma reduces the computation of the expectation of $||x||_1$ on K_N to a similar problem on the facets of K_N (the idea comes from [14]).

Lemma 2.6 Let F_1, \ldots, F_m be the facets of K_N . Then,

(2.22)
$$\frac{1}{|K_N|} \int_{K_N} \|x\|_1 dx \le \max_{1 \le s \le m} \frac{1}{|F_s|} \int_{F_s} \|u\|_1 du.$$

Proof. Following [14, Lemma 2.5], one can check that

(2.23)
$$\frac{1}{|K_N|} \int_{K_N} \|x\|_1 dx = \frac{1}{|K_N|} \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{d(0, F_s)}{n+1} \int_{F_s} \|u\|_1 du,$$

where $d(0, F_s)$ is the Euclidean distance from 0 to the affine subspace determined by F_s . Since

(2.24)
$$|K_N| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^m d(0, F_s) |F_s|.$$

the result follows.

Let $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and define $F = \operatorname{conv}\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$. Then, $F = T(\Delta^{n-1})$ where $\Delta^{n-1} = \operatorname{conv}\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ and $T_{ij} = \langle y_j, e_i \rangle =: y_{ji}$. Assume that det $T \neq 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|F|} \int_{F} \|u\|_{1} du &= \frac{1}{|\Delta^{n-1}|} \int_{\Delta^{n-1}} \|Tu\|_{1} du \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Delta^{n-1}|} \int_{\Delta^{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ji} u_{j} \right| \, du \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{|\Delta^{n-1}|} \int_{\Delta^{n-1}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ji} u_{j} \right| \, du \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{|\Delta^{n-1}|} \int_{\Delta^{n-1}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ji} u_{j} \right)^{2} \, du \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that

(2.25)
$$\frac{1}{|\Delta^{n-1}|} \int_{\Delta^{n-1}} u_{j_1} u_{j_2} = \frac{1+\delta_{j_1,j_2}}{n(n+1)},$$

we see that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{|F|} \int_{F} \|u\|_{1} du &\leq \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n+1)}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ji}^{2} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ji} \right)^{2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ji}^{2} \right)^{1/2} + \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ji} \right| \right]. \end{split}$$

It now follows from the classical Khintchine inequality (see [17] for the best constant $\sqrt{2})$ that

(2.26)
$$\frac{1}{|F|} \int_{F} \|u\|_1 du \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{n} \max_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \|\varepsilon_1 y_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n y_n\|_1.$$

Now, Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 immediately imply our upper bound:

Proposition 2.7 Let K be an isotropic 1-unconditional convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Fix N > n and let x_1, \ldots, x_N be independent random points uniformly distributed in K. Then, with probability greater than $1 - \exp(-cn\log(2N/n))$ we have

(2.27)
$$\frac{1}{|K_N|} \int_{K_N} \|x\|_1 dx \le C\sqrt{n}\sqrt{\log(2N/n)}$$

where C > 0 is an absolute constant.

The case of S_N requires some minor modifications. First of all, the role of 0 is played by the vector $w = \frac{1}{N}(x_1 + \cdots + x_N)$ which belongs to $S_N := \operatorname{conv}\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$. The substitute for (2.23) is

(2.28)
$$\frac{1}{|S_N|} \int_{S_N} \|x\|_1 dx = \frac{1}{|S_N|} \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{d(0, F_s)}{n+1} \int_{F_s} \|u - w\|_1 du$$

where F_1, \ldots, F_m are the facets of S_N (see [14, Lemma 2.5]). As in Lemma 2.6 (and since $||u - w||_1 \le ||w||_1 + ||u||_1$ for every $s \le m$ and for every $u \in F_s$) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|S_N|} \int_{S_N} \|x\|_1 dx &\leq \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \frac{1}{|F_s|} \int_{F_s} \|u - w\|_1 du \\ &\leq \|w\|_1 + \max_{1 \leq s \leq m} \frac{1}{|F_s|} \int_{F_s} \|u\|_1 du \end{aligned}$$

From (2.26) and Proposition 2.5 we get

(2.29)
$$\max_{1 \le s \le m} \frac{1}{|F_s|} \int_{F_s} \|u\|_1 du \le C\sqrt{n}\sqrt{\log(2N/n)}$$

It remains to estimate $||w||_1$. But, applying Lemma 2.3 (with m = N) to the random variables $g_j(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = \langle x_j, \theta \rangle$, where $\theta \in S_{\infty}^{n-1}$, we see that (2.30)

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left\{|\langle x_1 + \dots + x_N, \theta\rangle| > C\sqrt{n}\sqrt{\log(2N/n)}N\right\} \le 2\exp(-cN\log(2N/n))$$

and continuing as in $\S2.2$ we can check that

(2.31)
$$\|w\|_{1} = \frac{1}{N} \|x_{1} + \dots + x_{N}\|_{1} \le C\sqrt{n}\sqrt{\log(2N/n)}$$

with probability greater than $1-C \exp(-cN \log(2N/n))$. This leads to the analogue of Proposition 2.7 for S_N .

2.3 Proof of the main result

Lemma 2.1 tells us that

(2.32)
$$|K_N|^{1/n} n L_{K_N} \le c \frac{1}{|K_N|} \int_{K_N} \|x\|_1 dx,$$

where c > 0 is an absolute constant. Assume first that $N \leq \exp(cn)$. Propositions 2.2 and 2.7 show that, with probability greater than $1 - C_1 \exp(-cn)$ if $N \geq c_1 n$ and greater than $1 - C_1 \exp(-cn/\log n)$ if $n < N < c_1 n$, K_N satisfies

(2.33)
$$\frac{\sqrt{\log(2N/n)}}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot nL_{K_N} \le c \cdot C\sqrt{n}\sqrt{\log(2N/n)}.$$

It follows that $L_{K_N} \leq C_1 := c \cdot C$.

It is proved in [9, Section 5] that if $N \ge \exp(cn)$ then, with probability greater than $1 - \exp(-cn)$, one has

$$(2.34) c_1 K \subseteq S_N \subseteq K_N \subseteq K \subseteq c_2 \overline{B}_1^n$$

The last inclusion is established in [4] for isotropic 1-unconditional convex bodies. Then, $|K_N|^{1/n} \ge |S_N|^{1/n} \ge c_1$ and

(2.35)
$$\frac{1}{|K_N|} \int_{K_N} \|x\|_1 \, dx \le \frac{1}{|K_N|} \int_{K_N} c_3 n \|x\|_{K_N} \, dx \le c_3 n.$$

Therefore, (2.32) gives $L_{K_N} \leq c_4 := c_3/c_1$ in this case as well. Similar arguments work for S_N .

3 Remarks

§3.1. Let K be an isotropic convex body in \mathbb{R}^n with the property $\|\langle \cdot, \theta \rangle\|_{\psi_2} \leq C \|\langle \cdot, \theta \rangle\|_2$ for every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where C > 0 is an absolute constant. This class of ψ_2 -bodies includes the balls \overline{B}_q^n of ℓ_q^n , $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ (see [3]). It is also known that ψ_2 -bodies have bounded isotropic constant; this was proved by Bourgain in [7]. Starting with (1.3) instead of Lemma 2.1 and using the method of Section 2 one can prove that, with probability greater than $1 - \exp(-cn)$, the isotropic constants of K_N and S_N are bounded by an absolute constant. Actually, the argument is completely parallel to the one of Alonso-Gutiérrez in [1] for the case of random points from S_2^{n-1} . Note that 1-unconditional isotropic convex bodies are not necessarily ψ_2 -bodies.

§3.2. If x_1, \ldots, x_N are independent random points uniformly distributed in a convex body K of volume 1 in \mathbb{R}^n , we define

(3.1)
$$\mathbb{E}(K,N) = \mathbb{E}|S_N|^{1/n} = \mathbb{E}|\operatorname{conv}\{x_1,\ldots,x_N\}|^{1/n}$$

In [11] it was proved that if K is an isotropic 1-unconditional convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , then, for every $N \ge n+1$,

(3.2)
$$\mathbb{E}(K,N) \le C \frac{\sqrt{\log(2N/n)}}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where C > 0 is an absolute constant. Observe that this is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.7. We have

$$(3.3) |K_N|^{1/n} n L_{K_N} \le C\sqrt{n} \sqrt{\log(2N/n)}$$

with probability greater than $1 - \exp(-cn)$, so the result follows from the fact that $L_{K_N} \ge c_1$, where $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant. This was observed by A. Pajor.

In [10] it was proved that if K is any convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , then $\mathbb{E}(K, N) \leq CL_K \frac{\log(2N/n)}{\sqrt{n}}$. Using the methods of [10], [11] and the concentration result of G.

Paouris (see [16]) one can prove that for any convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^n,$ if $n+1\leq N\leq ne^{\sqrt{n}}$ then

(3.4)
$$\mathbb{E}(K,N) \le CL_K \frac{\sqrt{\log(N/n)}}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where C > 0 is an absolute constant. This would be a consequence (for the full range of values of the parameter N) of an affirmative answer to Question 1.1.

References

- [1] D. Alonso-Gutiérrez, About the isotropy constant of random convex sets, Preprint: http://arxiv.org/abs/0707.1570.
- S. Artstein-Avidan, Proportional concentration phenomena on the sphere, Israel J. Math. 132 (2002), 337–358.
- [3] F. Barthe, O. Guédon, S. Mendelson and A. Naor, A probabilistic approach to the geometry of the lⁿ_p-ball, Ann. Prob. **33** (2005), 480–513.
- [4] S. G. Bobkov and F. L. Nazarov, On convex bodies and log-concave probability measures with unconditional basis, Geom. Aspects of Funct. Analysis (Milman-Schechtman eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1807 (2003), 53–69.
- [5] S. G. Bobkov and F. L. Nazarov, Large deviations of typical linear functionals on a convex body with unconditional basis, Stochastic Inequalities and Applications, Progr. Probab. 56, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003, 3–13.
- [6] J. Bourgain, On the distribution of polynomials on high dimensional convex sets, Geom. Aspects of Funct. Analysis (Lindenstrauss-Milman eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1469 (1991), 127–137.
- J. Bourgain, On the isotropy constant for \u03c62-bodies, Geom. Aspects of Funct. Analysis (Milman-Schechtman eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1807 (2003), 114–121.
- [8] J. Bourgain, J. Lindenstrauss and V. D. Milman, Minkowski sums and symmetrizations, Geom. Aspects of Funct. Analysis (Lindenstrauss-Milman eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1317 (1988), 44–74.
- [9] A. Giannopoulos and V. D. Milman, Concentration property on probability spaces, Adv. in Math. 156 (2000), 77–106.
- [10] A. Giannopoulos and A. Tsolomitis, On the volume radius of a random polytope in a convex body, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 134 (2003), 13–21.
- [11] A. Giannopoulos, M. Hartzoulaki and A. Tsolomitis, Random points in isotropic unconditional convex bodies, J. London Math. Soc. 72 (2005), 779–798.
- [12] M. Hartzoulaki and G. Paouris, Quermassintegrals of a random polytope in a convex body, Arch. Math. 80 (2003), 430–438.
- B. Klartag, On convex perturbations with a bounded isotropic constant, Geom. Funct. Analysis 16 (2006), 1274–1290.
- [14] B. Klartag and G. Kozma, On the hyperplane conjecture for random convex sets, Preprint: http://arxiv.org/abs/math.MG/0612517.

- [15] V. D. Milman and A. Pajor, Isotropic position and inertia ellipsoids and zonoids of the unit ball of a normed n-dimensional space, Geom. Aspects of Funct. Analysis (Lindenstrauss-Milman eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1376 (1989), 64–104.
- [16] G. Paouris, Concentration of mass in convex bodies, Geom. Funct. Analysis 16 (2006), 1021–1049.
- [17] S. J. Szarek, On the best constant in the Khinchine inequality, Studia Math. 58 (1976), 197–208.

N. DAFNIS: Department of Mathematics, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis 157 84, Athens, Greece.

 $E\text{-}mail: \verb"nikdafnis@googlemail.com"$

A. GIANNOPOULOS: Department of Mathematics, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis 157 84, Athens, Greece.

E-mail: apgiannop@math.uoa.gr

O. GUÉDON: Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, boîte 186, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France. *E-mail:* guedon@math.jussieu.fr